

7-20-2022 - Reno City Council Meeting - Item # J.1 Public Comment

[illegible]



## New form response notification

1 message

### Reno City Council Online Public Comment Received

Tue, Jul 19, 2022 at 8:28 PM

<cityclerk@reno.gov>

Reply-To: cityclerk@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

<b>Your Name (First and Last)</b>	Connie Williams
<b>Email Address</b>	<a href="mailto:conniewilliams@comcast.net">conniewilliams@comcast.net</a>
<b>Address</b>	<a href="#">1200 Riverside Drive #1250</a> , Reno, NV 89503
<b>Phone Number</b>	8606080071
<b>Which City of Reno Ward do you reside?</b>	Ward 1
<b>Council Meeting Date</b>	Jul 20, 2022
<b>Do you wish to speak in person at the meeting?</b>	No (Digital comment only)
<b>Agenda Item</b>	D.2
<b>Please state if you are in favor or in opposition of the agenda item in which you are commenting:</b>	In favor
<b>Your Comment</b>	I am in favor of the N. Gilbert proposal to move the historic Howell Mansion and renovate the existing house/building to the parcel known as 0 Riverside Drive. It is the best plan for the city and the neighborhood, preserving important historical architecture and bringing an attractive improvement to enhance our community, making it more livable. It offsets the tremendous density

already present in this neighborhood and provides a link to our beautiful river that would not be available for the alternative residential development. In addition, the Gilbert proposal is well developed, specific and the sponsor has a proven track record of success in our community. Preservation of historic architecture is a proven strategy for communities to develop hospitality business, tourism, and overall community satisfaction, raising future property values and tax revenue. The alternative proposal is not specific and has poor likelihood of success. I am opposed to the proposal to erect another high rise residential building in one of the densest neighborhoods in the city.

**Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?**

Yes

**By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.**

Yes

**By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.**

Yes



---

## 7/20/22 City Council Meeting: Agenda Item D2

1 message

---

**Deborah Anderson** <riversidedeb13@gmail.com>

Tue, Jul 19, 2022 at 4:55 PM

To: PublicComment@reno.gov

Item D2

Empty Lot Development Proposal

Hello,

As an informed resident of 1200 Riverside Drive Condos, right next to the empty lot at topic of this agenda item, I would like to share my thoughts on the two proposals under consideration by the Council.

My understanding is that Nancy Gilbert hopes to relocate a historic mansion from near the Nevada Museum of Art, saving the mansion from destruction and using it as a neighborhood cafe...basically, versus developer Two Sparks seeking to build a multi-family building on the lot.

I have lived at 1200 Riverside Condos for 10 years and it is clear that something must be done with that space. The attempted fencing and other actions taken to move homeless out of the area and make it safe to walk through have proven that it is time for an action at that space that will benefit the community. I ask the the Council accept Nancy Gilbert's proposal. As a resident, the value-add to my neighborhood is long overdue considering the value-decline due to the persistent problems of the lot. The relocated home allows an opportunity for small business owners to have a brick-and-mortar establishment and employ others in our community. While Reno is growing exponentially (the RED district), some preservation is incumbent upon the City to tell the story of, at least, a small portion of Reno's past via that historical home.

The Two Sparks multi-family building I am sure is alluring to the City in revenue but that is not to say there is not enough city revenue in the Nancy Gilbert proposal. What a detriment to the current and long-time owners in Building B of 1200 Riverside Condos, and to all at this residence and those around it. and those who may come to whatever cafe or other businesses that may come in. The City would deny the residents of this area, and from surrounding areas, to come and enjoy this sweet-spot near the river.

All of us who live in an around this empty lot have been waiting a long time for its cleanup and beautification and to feel safe there. Let that be via a place to learn a bit of Reno history and community, not a multi-family building. We have waited long enough; Please, no multi-family building in that space...not here, not now.

Thank you for your consideration.

Deborah Anderson  
1200 Riverside Drive #1279  
Reno, NV 89503





---

## Proposed development of property on Riverside Dr. And Jones Street

1 message

---

**howzeka** <howzeka@aol.com>  
To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Wed, Jul 20, 2022 at 11:00 AM

Good day. I am a resident at 1200 Riverside Dr and I write to strongly support the proposed development of the lot next to the 1200 Riverside Dr condos.

The proposal to move an historic building from one Reno location and create an opportunity for residents of Reno and elsewhere to enjoy the river will be an excellent use of these parcels while preserving the beauty and serenity of the spot along the river.

The proposal offers a smart, effective and appropriate use of the lots that will become a new gathering place along the river while also preserving a piece of Reno's history.

The proposed use appropriately expands the entertainment venues along the river that will be less intrusive than larger scale projects that have been proposed in the past. The proposed plan will also preserve the serenity of the location while providing another venue for community members and visitors to enjoy the beauty and tranquility near the river's edge.

Indeed, I wholeheartedly support the proposal and hope to be amongst those who will enjoy the benefits of this small footprint project for years to come. p

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Karen Aileen Howze  
[1200 Riverside Dr.](#)  
[#1276](#)  
[Reno](#)

Sent from my Galaxy



## New form response notification

1 message

### Reno City Council Online Public Comment Received

Wed, Jul 20, 2022 at 6:29

<cityclerk@reno.gov>

AM

Reply-To: cityclerk@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

<b>Your Name (First and Last)</b>	Pat Behonek
<b>Email Address</b>	<a href="mailto:behonek@gmail.com">behonek@gmail.com</a>
<b>Address</b>	<a href="#">1200 Riverside Drive, Unit 1291, Reno, NV 89503-5470</a>
<b>Phone Number</b>	7755251965
<b>Which City of Reno Ward do you reside?</b>	Ward 1
<b>Council Meeting Date</b>	Jul 20, 2022
<b>Do you wish to speak in person at the meeting?</b>	No (Digital comment only)
<b>Agenda Item</b>	D.2
<b>Please state if you are in favor or in opposition of the agenda item in which you are commenting:</b>	In favor
<b>Your Comment</b>	<p>I urge you to accept the proposal by TFP/GILBERT to move the historic Sinai Mansion from its current location at Hill and California to the vacant lot at 0 Riverside Drive, restore it, and open it to public use.</p> <p>For many years, that dusty, weed-filled lot has been both an eyesore and a magnet for vagrants and other miscreants. To think that it could transform into a lovely</p>

neighborhood gathering place where friends might meet up for a coffee or glass of wine is more than any of us had ever hoped for! Add to this the preservation of an historic building, and it becomes a win-win for us all. The other proposal you are being asked to consider for that vacant lot is the construction of a high-rise housing project, with 80% of the units sold at market value. One need only think of the unfortunate structure rising, one cinder block at a time, next to the Hub to see how wrongheaded it would be to repeat that mistake at 0 Riverside Drive.

Your choice is between an amenity that would serve the many neighbors who live within walking and biking distance of 0 Riverside Drive and an out-of-scale building that would overwhelm the location and serve only the developer and those purchasing a unit there. In making your decision, I ask you to put aside thoughts of an immediate gain for the city coffers and, instead, carefully consider the long-term needs and desires of those who live in our neighborhood.

**Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?**

No

**By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.**

Yes

**By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.**

Yes







Public Comment <publiccomment@reno.gov>

---

## 0 Riverside Property

1 message

---

**Heather DeAngeli** <deangelifamily@charter.net>

Wed, Jul 20, 2022 at 10:59 AM

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing to support Tim and Nancy Gilbert's proposal for 0 Riverside property. I believe that continuing the Riverwalk to a place where Reno citizens can sit and enjoy the Truckee River with family and friends is a greater priority than adding yet another condo abutting the river. We have enough of this already going on and it takes access to the river away from the general public.

Sincerely,

Heather DeAngeli  
4100 Riverhaven Dr.  
Reno, NV 89519

# RENO CITY COUNCIL PUBLIC COMMENT CARD

Thank you for participating. We know your time is valuable and we look forward to hearing your comments, ideas and questions. The Mayor and City Council request that all comments are expressed in a courteous manner. Public comment is limited to three minutes each. Comments should be addressed to the council as a whole, not an individual member.

NAME: Ronda Theisen  
ADDRESS: 1200 125D  
CONTACT PHONE: 775-386-0471  
E-MAIL: olive@charter.net

If you are representing someone, other than yourself, please indicate whom:

☒ WARD 1    ☐ WARD 2    ☐ WARD 3    ☐ WARD 4    ☐ WARD 5  
☐ OTHER \_\_\_\_\_

DO YOU WISH TO SPEAK? YES ☒ NO ☐

AGENDA ITEM J.1

☐ IN FAVOR    ☐ IN OPPOSITION    ☐ NO POSITION STATED - CONCERNED

COMMENTS:

open space

☐ PLEASE SIGN ME UP TO RECEIVE IMPORTANT NEWS AND INFORMATION ABOUT THE CITY OF RENO BY E-MAIL.

WHEN COMPLETED, PLEASE RETURN TO THE CITY OF RENO CITY CLERK

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION AND PARTICIPATION





Public Comment <publiccomment@reno.gov>

---

## Opposition to condo at zero Riverside property

1 message

---

**Sally Hanrahan** <salgal777@me.com>

Wed, Jul 20, 2022 at 9:00 AM

To: PublicComment@reno.gov

To whom it may concern,

I am in opposition to another  
condo on the Riverside property.

We don't need another condo taking up the riverfront. I feel we need to leave the river walk open for the people to enjoy.

This is NOT New York where every square inch is a high rise.

The scenic views for others would be destroyed.

Critical infrastructure should be considered and we, as a city, also need to keep in mind our Historical Value.

With all the new building going on in Reno, our slogan, 'The biggest Little City ' will no longer be a true slogan.

Thank you,  
Sally Hanrahan.

Sent from my iPhone