
New form response notification

1 message

Reno City Council Online Public Comment Received

Tue, Jul 25, 2023 at

<cityclerk@reno.gov>

5:03 PM

Reply-To: cityclerk@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

Your Name (First and Last)	Andrea Sullivan
Council Meeting Date	Jul 26, 2023
Agenda Item	A.3
Do you wish to speak in person at the meeting?	No, written comment only (please submit your comments in the field below)
Your Comment (if you are speaking, it is not necessary to complete this field)	<p>I am not in support of Rancharrah demolishing the Rancharrah Equestrian Center in order to build homes and/or townhomes. I have been a resident in Reno for over 55 years, having been a member of Lakeridge Tennis Club which the same developer razed and made promises to South Reno residents now 3 years later that property sits vacant & overgrown.</p> <p>Regarding Rancharrah & these developers, homeowners & surrounding residents were sold on the idea of preserving the Harrah Estate & property in Nevada tradition ranching which included the equestrian center & open pasture space. Now they want to make drastic changes to what they originally submitted as the master plan & was approved. Rancharrah has been selling the property & homes with the equestrian</p>

center as a focal point & lifestyle. These homes are an average of a million dollars, now years later after the original plans were approved & homes sold on those plans how can they come back years later & demolish what was & is the center of the development?

All these homeowners trusted the Reno City Council when they approved those plans & it would be built as promised with the equestrian center included.

Growth is good but we need to also balance with open green space for our future & the Reno City council is the handler of this & to keep a balance between. The original approved plans did that.

I do not support the loss of the equestrian center & open green space which will be the result if the equestrian was to be replaced with homes.

Which City of Reno Ward do you reside?

Ward 4

Please state if you are in favor or in opposition of the agenda item in which you are commenting:

In opposition

By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

Yes

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes

Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?

No

Fwd: My objection to the destruction of the RENO ICON = the Rancharrah Equestrian Center

1 message

Reno City Clerk's Office <cityclerk@reno.gov>

Wed, Jul 26, 2023 at 6:45 AM

To: Public Comment <publiccomment@reno.gov>, CityClerk <CityClerk@reno.gov>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Audrey M Keller** <audrey@swissfamilykeller.com>

Date: Tue, Jul 25, 2023 at 4:40 PM

Subject: My objection to the destruction of the RENO ICON = the Rancharrah Equestrian Center

To: Reno City Clerk's Office <cityclerk@reno.gov>, Naomi Duerr <duerr@reno.gov>, <schieveH@reno.gov>, <reeseD@reno.gov>, <brekhusJ@reno.gov>, <martinezMi@reno.gov>, <ebertM@reno.gov>, <taylorK@reno.gov>

Dear City Mayor and Council Members,

Sometimes residents come before City Council as those dreaded NIMBY's - those not in my backyard people complaining about something new. In this case it is quite the opposite. As a resident of Rancharrah I bought my home as part of an equestrian community. As a member of the homeowners association I had to sign my CC&Rs that I understood that there was an Equestrian Facility embedded in my community.

Reno can't be the greatest little city without horses. Rancharrah can't be "a ranch" without horses. Northern Nevada isn't "unique" without the western lifestyle.... and that means horses.

Tourists come to Reno for all kinds of sports and recreation from gambling to rodeo to baseball to bowling. Equine Tourism is big business and should include competitive horse events, and pleasure riding, not just rodeo grounds.

Tourists and residents of all ages and abilities can learn to ride a horse. Equestrian competition is big business and it attracts big money.

PLEASE Re-Imagine RENO WITH HORSES!



Central Park in New York City wouldn't be Central Park without horses, equestrian trails and horse-drawn carriages for tourists and residents.

In Central Park, horseback riding is permitted year-round during regular park hours. The recently reconstructed Central Park bridle path is more than six miles long and encircles the Reservoir as well as the North Meadow.

Horseback riding has been a tradition in Central Park for 150 years, dating back to when the park was originally designed. There is some evidence that Central Park was originally meant to be seen from horseback. Trails such as the bridle path adjacent to the [Reservoir](#) are made of hard, packed dirt and are as perfect for horseback riding today as they were when the park opened.

A robust plan including riding trails has been submitted to Washoe County to not only SAVE the Rancharrah Equestrian Center but to expand its services with a new operator - but that ACTION needs Reno City Council to step up and step into NEGOTIATIONS. Washoe County needs you to negotiate with the developer.

Similar to the arrangement of "a park within a park concept" - the Hawkins Amphitheater inside Bartley Ranch Park is operated by a nonprofit and it draws tourism and residents to the park.

The Rancharrah Equestrian Center can be deeded to the County in exchange for other land. The facility is too unique and it is something that the City won't be able to 'Re-Imagine' or fund from the ground up.

Negotiate for the future preservation of the Rancharrah Equestrian Center. Once it is out of the developer's hands, then it can be designated as a historic place / historic Ranch site of Bill Harrah.

I would guess that City leadership and the developers back in the day, didn't consider that the Rancharrah residents would be so adamantly opposed to demolishing an equestrian center that was the hub of our new community.

Kind Regards,
[Audrey Keller](#)
[Not a NIMBY](#)
[Resident of Rancharrah](#)

(818) 292-0447

Rancharrah Equestrian Center

1 message

Barbara S. Dimmitt <bdimmitt@gmail.com>

Tue, Jul 25, 2023 at 10:58 PM

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Please do not buy into the idea that there are “plenty of places” for people in this area to board, train, ride, and enjoy horses in a safe facility that is centrally located and equipped to function uniformly year-round. There are not.

Rancharrah Equestrian Center is a spectacular facility of historic significance, beauty, and design. When I allow myself to imagine it being destroyed, I recall the anguish I felt watching the incomparable Mapes Hotel implode and subside into dust. Is this the Reno way? I would like to think not.

Barbara Sande Dimmitt

New form response notification

1 message

Reno City Council Online Public Comment Received

Wed, Jul 26, 2023 at
8:11 AM

<cityclerk@reno.gov>

Reply-To: cityclerk@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

Your Name (First and Last)

Brendan Schnieder

If you are representing someone other than yourself, please indicate who you are representing.

Washoe County Health District: Air Quality Management Division

Council Meeting Date

Jul 26, 2023

Agenda Item

B.6

Do you wish to speak in person at the meeting?

No, written comment only (please submit your comments in the field below)

Your Comment (if you are speaking, it is not necessary to complete this field)

Preliminary air monitoring data through 2022 indicate Washoe County will be violating the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for ozone. An ozone non-attainment designation from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is a threat to future economic development in Northern Nevada. Warmer temperatures accelerate ozone formation and increase energy use for comfort cooling of buildings and cars. Hot summertime temperatures are a barrier to residents choosing more active forms of active transportation such as walking, biking, and

transit. Trees can also act as a natural filter for air pollution generated by motor vehicles and other industrial sources. With Reno being the fastest warming city in the US, increasing the urban tree canopy is one of our main strategies in reducing the urban heat island and helps keep our air clean. The Washoe County Health District: Air Quality Management Division supports this item.

Which City of Reno Ward do you reside?

Unsure/Other

Please state if you are in favor or in opposition of the agenda item in which you are commenting:

In favor

Email Address

bschnieder@washoecounty.gov

By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

Yes

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to

Yes

the agenda for today's meeting.

Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?

No

New form response notification

1 message

Reno City Council Online Public Comment Received

Tue, Jul 25, 2023 at
10:12 PM

<cityclerk@reno.gov>

Reply-To: cityclerk@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

Your Name (First and Last)	Brett Torvinen
Council Meeting Date	Jul 26, 1923
Agenda Item	D1
Do you wish to speak in person at the meeting?	No, written comment only (please submit your comments in the field below)
Which City of Reno Ward do you reside?	Ward 1
Please state if you are in favor or in opposition of the agenda item in which you are commenting:	In opposition
Email Address	gswarriors44@gmail.com
Address	1411 Nixon Ave
Phone Number	7757861303

By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

Yes

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes

Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?

Yes

Fwd: PC Public Comment Form - 07/26/2023, D1, Brett Torvinen

1 message

Cali Shy <shyc@reno.gov>

Wed, Jul 26, 2023 at 8:50 AM

To: Public Comment <publiccomment@reno.gov>

Good morning,

Please see the public comment below for today's City Council meeting.

Thank you,
Cali



CITY OF
RENO

Cali Shy
(She/Her/Hers)

Planning Technician
Development Services
775-393-1039 (o) or 775-895-9019 (c)
ShyC@Reno.Gov
1 E. First St., Reno, NV 89505

[Reno.Gov](https://www.reno.gov) | Connect with us:     

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **PC Public Comment** <wilseyc@reno.gov>

Date: Tue, Jul 25, 2023 at 11:05 PM

Subject: PC Public Comment Form - 07/26/2023, D1, Brett Torvinen

To: <fournierm@reno.gov>, <renoplanningcommission@reno.gov>

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

Email Address

gswarrior44@gmail.com

Your Name (First and Last)

Brett Torvinen

Address

1411 Nixon Ave

Phone Number

7757861303

Planning Commission Meeting Date

07/26/2023

Agenda Item or Case Number

D1

Please state if you are in favor of or in opposition of the agenda item in which you are commenting:

In Opposition

Your Comment

In regards to the Placemaking study and downtown revitalization. The number one 1st priority is to clean it up. Two ways, good old soap and water and clear out the vagrants. Until that is accomplished every other dime spent is a waste of money. They aren't coming down because of the dirt, garbage, and the panhandling. Dodging blue tarps, shopping carts, and street sleepers is not a sport we can promote and be successful. Next I would suggest you target your majority of customers, adult locals and tourists. The micro-mobility efforts are serving a microscopic percentage of the public. According to the head of the Trickee Meadows Bike Alliance thousand of families are visiting downtown on bikes. Where are they? This is just not true in any way. This week he wrote an op-ed shaming the Council that they aren't considering safety. This is the same guy that on comments on another bike study article proclaimed that "traffic laws should just be suggestions" That sounds safe. I suggested to him that maybe everybody could do that but he banned me from non-profit TMBA facebook

page for not agreeing with him. sad, I never got a chance to post my donation. The bike lanes have done nothing but cause traffic jams, more injuries and deaths , and the same with all the added crosswalks, although I do feel the flashing ones are much better as far as safety. You paid for a micro mobility study from an out of town group who spent 6 days in the field observing traffic over more than a month, two days at a time. Do you really think they understand the traffic and how bikes fit in here in Reno. I can't tell you how many times I asked how many bikes did you see daily on average and never got an answer from any one. Wouldn't that be pertinent? My observations driving these main streets (Arlington, Plumb, 4th St, Mill, Glendale) about 5 days a week, I don't see 10 bikes a day. Downtown they are rarely on the bike lanes, everywhere but there. And rarely following traffic laws. And the scooters, worse. I've heard about the scooter victims at the ER's on weekends, is it worth it? Open the streets back up and let them have free reign to ride anywhere they want. Four lane doubles the size of the streets and makes it safer for everybody. They will find the fastest routes to get where they are going. Lastly, people complaining about The Row and other downtown casinos having a say on what happens Downtown makes all the sense in the world. Who else has that kind of investment down there. And there names are always on every list when charitable donations are being delved out. They've earned the right to have input. Responsible government uses public funds for the greater good. The bicyclist are at best 1% there are plenty of safe streets for them to ride. The kids seem to find them? All the way to school.

By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public

Yes

record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes

Sent via [Google Form Notifications](#)



PC Public Comment - 07/26/2023, D1, Brett Torvinen.pdf

49K

New form response notification

1 message

Reno City Council Online Public Comment Received

Wed, Jul 26, 2023 at

4:35 AM

<cityclerk@reno.gov>

Reply-To: cityclerk@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

Your Name (First and Last)	Chris Bell
Council Meeting Date	Jul 26, 2023
Agenda Item	B.6
Do you wish to speak in person at the meeting?	No, written comment only (please submit your comments in the field below)
Your Comment (if you are speaking, it is not necessary to complete this field)	I am writing to encourage all council members to accept consent agenda item B.6- the planting of fruit trees. This is because all trees provide carbon uptake from the atmosphere which we all know is an essential technique for aiding the mitigation of climate change. These trees will have other benefits such as shade (to reduce the 'urban heat island effect), and food, and pollinators support. Thank you for making changes in all areas to help in our collective crisis.
Which City of Reno Ward do you reside?	Ward 2
Please state if you are in favor or in opposition of the	In favor

**agenda item in which you
are commenting:**

Address

3970 Jasper Ln.

**By checking the "Yes"
below, you understand,
acknowledge, and expressly
agree that: (1) all information
submitted by you will be
entered into the public
record, made available for
public inspection, and freely
disseminated without
restriction; and, (2) any
contact, personal, financial,
or medical information
intentionally or inadvertently
submitted by you will not be
maintained in a confidential
manner, or subsequently
exempted from public
inspection.**

Yes

**By checking the "Yes"
below, you agree that all the
information above is true
and accurate. For additional
information, please refer to
the agenda for today's
meeting.**

Yes

**Do you wish to sign-up for
Reno Connect e-
newsletters?**

No

New form response notification

1 message

Reno City Council Online Public Comment Received

Wed, Jul 26, 2023 at
6:24 AM

<cityclerk@reno.gov>

Reply-To: cityclerk@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

Your Name (First and Last)	Jennifer Santos
Council Meeting Date	Jun 23, 1923
Agenda Item	A.3
Do you wish to speak in person at the meeting?	Yes (Check-in with the City Clerk upon arrival)
Which City of Reno Ward do you reside?	Ward 2
Please state if you are in favor or in opposition of the agenda item in which you are commenting:	No position stated - Concerned or Neutral
Email Address	Jenniferasantos@gmail.com
Address	S pleasant oak trail
Phone Number	9255751869

By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

Yes

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes

Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?

No

RECEIVED

JUL 26 2023

CITY CLERK

I live at 728 Canter Way (Lot# 9) in the Rancharra neighborhood.

Just over my back fence is the Rancharra Equestrian Center.

When we bought our property in the Lariat custom development area we were informed, both verbally and in the published sales brochures that we were buying a bit of Reno history, where the "ranch/horse" lifestyle would continue to be present.

The Rancharra Equestrian Center "is" that embodiment.

Personally, I would very much like the Rancharra Equestrian Center to remain. I like the ambiance of the "barn" and its surroundings. Let's just say that I like "horses NOT houses".

BUT...(OK, a switch from the emotional to the practical)

My wife reminds me that I should also be concerned with our "property values". OK, one acre property with big houses is definitely going to change my "view". But, I would think that houses on 1 acre lots are going to compliment (and possibly raise) the value of my investment in the Rancharra neighborhood development. Likely, a little more than 24 "much smaller" houses within the same six (6) acre footprint.

Not to mention that the six/seven house development in the Rancharra Equestrian Center physical footprint area is what the RHH Management has been pushing at monthly meetings for the last one and a half years. Can you say, "bait and switch"?

As far as I can see, The Rancharra Holdings LLC (RHH LLC) has a history of this type of behavior. Just look at the Lakeridge Tennis Club debacle. Two plus years on and it is still a mess. Do we have to go on with this behavior in what is touted as the "premier" housing development in Reno?

This behavior is just a slippery slope to make RHH LLC members more money. How long before Lot G get a new/higher housing density rezoning? How long before the ESTATES (previously the Equestrian Estates) get a new/higher housing density rezoning?

Camelot Re-imagined?

Not hardly...

Chip Bowlby is rolling over in his grave. (emotional redux)

Respectfully yours,

John Skow

Rancharra Home Owner

jskow5005@gmail.com

916-801-1672

My post in response to reference items B.5 (gaming at Reno City Center) and D.1 (Placemaking on Virginia Street)

1 message

Kelly Persich <persichkm@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 26, 2023 at 11:54 AM

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

I originally posted this in response to The Barberbrief that was emailed out on July 24th, The devil in the details.

I know it won't make it to the meeting this evening but wanted to send it anyway.

Kelly Persich

I know I'm behind on a lot these topics however, isn't the former Harrah's supposed to be apartments with businesses on the bottom, maybe a small boutique hotel, no gaming if I remember? I know plans change but seriously do we need more gaming? Just like the purposed site on the corner of Virginia and Kietzke.

The downtown casino area does need help. It needs more attention from the Police and social services. There needs to be "beat cops" patrolling with a person representing social services (Cares Campus, Catholic Charities, 211, Nevada

Hopes, Access to Healthcare NV, etc). Or at least an office there to reach the people where they are.

I honestly can't believe there isn't a small substation with Police, EMT/First-Aid Responders. When I worked security in one of the casinos years ago it was a sh!t show trying to get patrons to understand we don't call the cops to file a report for a stolen phone. Or if a patron needed more than basic first aid that we were allowed to provide but didn't need an ER, we'd end up taking them to the ER at St. Mary's.

I know there is an axillary force, even a senior citizen axillary, could even check with CERT for holidays and large events. These are the people that could man the station on a voluntary basis to help people file a report leaving the police to patrol and respond to calls or events. Also, driving downtown stinks. There needs to be better flow, like one way streets. This allows for more street parking and bike lanes. Thinking 1st to 5th or 6th, Sierra to Lake. It's only going to get worse with the new building going on at Lake & 1st. I mean Virginia is only lane each direction anyway. During the

holiday season or large events, traffic is horrible.

I have the idea of off street parking garages with a trolleys that go from Plumb to UNR. Paid for with money from the parking garages. Show your ticket and ride. Or pay say 25 cents, donation, or buy a pass for a week or month.

Sorry for the long comment however, this seems like a great place to air my ideas.

MARLA MILLER

JUL 26 2023

CITY CLERK

I excitedly bought in Rancharra in January, 2017. I was sold by the marketing that emphasized “ranch-style living” and purchased property directly in front of the Equestrian Center so I could live as if the Center was my backyard. My house was built and decorated in true ranch-style. I drank coffee with the horses each morning and counted my blessings that I was living such a gifted life. Fast-forward to 2023. I am now told the Equestrian Center is being demolished; with the end goal being 24 small-lot houses. I cannot express the distress I feel. Eviction of the horses is the first step taken by the greedy and unscrupulous developers to justify their request to change the zoning. This is currently a working enterprise. By negating this, they can claim it is now “non-viable” and legitimately go forward with their plans. This is such a back-handed way of scamming all of us who paid top-dollar for this unique environment. Please refuse their requests for any changes to the Equestrian Center area and let it serve as an iconic place for Reno residents.

RENO CITY COUNCIL
PUBLIC COMMENT CARD

Thank you for participating. We know your time is valuable and we look forward to hearing your comments, ideas and questions. The Mayor and City Council request that all comments are expressed in a courteous manner. Public comment is limited to three minutes each. Comments should be addressed to the council as a whole, not an individual member.

NAME: Damien Cole
ADDRESS: 17 S Virginia St 89501
CONTACT PHONE: 775-701-0774
E-MAIL: _____

If you are representing someone, other than yourself, please indicate whom:

WARD 1 WARD 2 WARD 3 WARD 4 WARD 5
 OTHER _____

DO YOU WISH TO SPEAK? YES NO

AGENDA ITEM _____

J.1

IN FAVOR IN OPPOSITION NO POSITION STATED - CONCERNED

COMMENTS: Auto museum encroachment

PLEASE SIGN ME UP TO RECEIVE IMPORTANT NEWS AND INFORMATION ABOUT THE CITY OF RENO BY E-MAIL.

WHEN COMPLETED, PLEASE RETURN TO THE CITY OF RENO CITY CLERK

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION AND PARTICIPATION



New form response notification

1 message

Reno City Council Online Public Comment Received

Tue, Jul 25, 2023 at
7:30 PM

<cityclerk@reno.gov>

Reply-To: cityclerk@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

Your Name (First and Last)	Sarah Porter
Council Meeting Date	Jul 26, 2023
Agenda Item	A.3.
Do you wish to speak in person at the meeting?	No, written comment only (please submit your comments in the field below)
Your Comment (if you are speaking, it is not necessary to complete this field)	<p>I am writing to you as a concerned resident of the Damonte Ranch area. We have lived here for 15 years and seen impressive growth in our area. While I understand and support the growth of our great City, it is very important that our infrastructure and City services keep pace with that growth.</p> <p>Over the past two to three years, I have noticed a significant deterioration of the Damonte Ranch Park. It is the largest park in our area and is only getting busier and more popular with all the new single family homes and apartments in the area. There is one slide that has been closed and boarded up for more than a year. It has a significant crack and is not safe for kids. I understand that the Parks department has ordered a new slide, but does not have an ETA</p>

for delivery or installation. Additionally the remaining equipment is sun bleached and has other wear and tear that needs to be addressed. The "grass" - if we can even call it that anymore - is all virtually dead, dying, or overrun with crabgrass. It is a very large field with rolling hills intended for play, soccer and lacrosse practices, etc.. However, it is in such bad shape and so pitted-out and uneven that it is a hazard. It is in some serious need of an agronomist and possibly a complete overall/reseed.

And it is not just Damonte Ranch Park. Cyan Park is overrun with weeds and crabgrass. This park recently received significant investment to add soccer/play fields, a dog park, pickleball courts, and expand the parking lot. To see all that investment deteriorate because there is little to no maintenance is a disservice to the people and families who live in south Reno. And a gross misuse of funds to develop something and then not maintain it.

I am hopeful the City can get the Parks and Rec department the funding and support they need to take action and properly maintain these community spaces. We want to keep the Damonte Ranch area a beautiful and welcoming place for individuals and families, and having well-maintained parks that are a hub for the neighborhood is crucial.

Which City of Reno Ward do you reside?

Ward 2

Please state if you are in favor or in opposition of the agenda item in which you are commenting:

No position stated - Concerned or Neutral

Email Address

sarah@porterhousemarketing.com

Address

[10655 Summer Glen Dr. Reno, NV 89521](#)

Phone Number

7758489768

By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

Yes

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes

Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?

No