

8-10-2022 - Reno City Council Meeting - Item # A.3

[illegible]



Shocking Zoning decision

2 messages

bmarks@thinkrogers.com <bmarks@thinkrogers.com>

Mon, Aug 8, 2022 at 10:12 AM

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Recently the Hustler store was approved to open on South Virginia Street in the Parkside shopping center in front of Home Depot

I own a business nearby, Rogers Jewelry Co. and have operated in this neighborhood since the late 90's. We have been in the Reno area since 1941.

For more than 30 years this has been a respectable neighborhood with fine retail outlets such as Whole Foods, David's Bridal, Total Wine, Barnes and Noble, PetCo, and Home Depot. We operate a reputable jewelry store selling some of the finest luxury brands in the world.

I was dismayed by the decision of the planning commission to approve the Hustler store in this location, but was told that Hustler was able to "prove" that only a small percentage of the products they offer are only sold in an "adult business."

It may be true that other stores sell lingerie and DVDs but not the same lingerie and DVDs. Also what difference does the percentage make? Would you feel comfortable with your family in an atmosphere with only a few phonographic materials lying around?

Is there really any doubt what the Hustler brand is all about? If you are not sure, just visit their web-site.

Is this what Mayor Schieve had in mind when she said "re-imagine Reno?"

Sincerely,

Bart Marks
CEO
Rogers Jewelry Co.

Public Comment <publiccomment@reno.gov>

Mon, Aug 8, 2022 at 4:21 PM

To: bmarks@thinkrogers.com

Cc: Public Comment <publiccomment@reno.gov>

Hello Mr. Marks,

Thank you for your comments. They will be sent to the Reno City Council for review and will be part of the official record.

Best,

City Clerk's Office
City Clerk (775) 334-2030
1 East First Street, 2nd Floor Reno, NV 89501

publiccomment@reno.gov

cityclerk@reno.gov

[Quoted text hidden]



Fwd: (ALERT, AN URGENT MESSAGE TO CHECK OUT IMMEDIATELY, AS SOON AS POSSIBLE; PLEASE DON'T IGNORE) No To Surveillant (TECHNOCRATIC) Smart City

Reno City Clerk's Office <cityclerk@reno.gov>

Thu, Aug 4, 2022 at 5:23 PM

To: fern85dmcuriel@gmail.com, Public Comment <publiccomment@reno.gov>

Cc: CityClerk <cityclerk@reno.gov>

Hello Fernando,

Thank you for your comments. They will be sent to the Reno City Council for review and will be part of the official record.

*Please note, for consistency in record keeping please email all future comments to Publiccomment@reno.gov.

Regards,

City Clerk's Office

--

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Fernando Curiel** <fern85dmcuriel@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 1, 2022 at 1:23 PM

Subject: Fwd: (ALERT, AN URGENT MESSAGE TO CHECK OUT IMMEDIATELY, AS SOON AS POSSIBLE; PLEASE DON'T IGNORE) No To Surveillant (TECHNOCRATIC) Smart City

To: renodirect@reno.gov <renodirect@reno.gov>, <cityclerk@reno.gov>

(Just In Case) MAKE SURE the mayor and all the council members READ (this) my email message that I've already emailed to them As Soon As Possible, IMMEDIATELY (because some of them might face again a possible local election later this year or not run again?) IT'S EXTREMELY IMPORTANT THAT YOU DO SO VERY SOON!

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Fernando Curiel** <fern85dmcuriel@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 1, 2022, 1:19 PM

Subject: Fwd: (ALERT, AN URGENT MESSAGE TO CHECK OUT IMMEDIATELY, AS SOON AS POSSIBLE; PLEASE DON'T IGNORE) No To Surveillant (TECHNOCRATIC) Smart City

To: Mayor@reno.gov <Mayor@reno.gov>, reesed@reno.gov <reesed@reno.gov>, brekhusj@reno.gov <brekhusj@reno.gov>, duerrn@reno.gov <duerrn@reno.gov>, delgadoo@reno.gov <delgadoo@reno.gov>, weberb@reno.gov <weberb@reno.gov>, jardonn@reno.gov <jardonn@reno.gov>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Fernando Curiel** <fern85dmcuriel@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 1, 2022, 12:56 PM

Subject: Fwd: (ALERT, AN URGENT MESSAGE TO CHECK OUT IMMEDIATELY, AS SOON AS POSSIBLE; PLEASE DON'T IGNORE) No To Surveillant (TECHNOCRATIC) Smart City

To: <jgalassini@washoecounty.us>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Fernando Curiel** <fern85dmcuriel@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 1, 2022, 12:50 PM

Subject: Fwd: (ALERT, AN URGENT MESSAGE TO CHECK OUT IMMEDIATELY, AS SOON AS POSSIBLE; PLEASE DON'T IGNORE) No To Surveillant (TECHNOCRATIC) Smart City

To: <jherman@washoecounty.gov>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Fernando Curiel** <fern85dmcuriel@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 1, 2022, 12:45 PM

Subject: Fwd: (ALERT, AN URGENT MESSAGE TO CHECK OUT IMMEDIATELY, AS SOON AS POSSIBLE; PLEASE DON'T IGNORE) No To Surveillant (TECHNOCRATIC) Smart City

To: <blucey@washoecounty.us>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Fernando Curiel** <fern85dmcuriel@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 1, 2022, 12:44 PM

Subject: Fwd: (ALERT, AN URGENT MESSAGE TO CHECK OUT IMMEDIATELY, AS SOON AS POSSIBLE; PLEASE DON'T IGNORE) No To Surveillant (TECHNOCRATIC) Smart City

To: <vhartung@washoecounty.gov>, <ahill@washoecounty.gov>

You might not agree with the title of this email message but I really really hope you're open minded to read it in order to know the problems and downside of Smart Cities regardless the city of Reno is already (started) planning to become a Smart City. Overall, I really hope all census designated areas are excluded from the Smart City Projects.

HELP Me SHARE (or remind each other) this kind of information to all city councils (Reno/Sparks) and census designated areas throughout Washoe County as possible IF YOU CAN. Just in case the county commissioners doesn't take action very soon or you could reach out to remind them on this main topic of Smart Cities (and other similar technologies.)

I'm scared, nervous, and very anxious. I hope it's never too late on what I'm doing; I'm trying to reach as many county and city leaders as I can. Can anything be done to stop the coming Smart City Projects because the project was hidden within one of the infrastructure bills that was passed last year, before it's too late? THIS IS NOT AN ANTI-SCIENCE RANT! I'M ALL FOR TAKING CARE THE ENVIRONMENT AS POSSIBLE; there will always be alternatives, and more scientific research. I'M NOT AGAINST ANY FORM OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES AT ALL but Smart Cities will absolutely be an ORWELLIAN and SURVEILLANCE nightmare I. Smart Cities seems inevitable, like it's here to stay. However, I hope it's never too late that your county or city does something against the Smart City Projects very soon. Even if your county and some counties, or cities, in your state are already scheduled or approved to do so this year. Can the project be halted in the middle of process or/and afterwards? When you hear the word "smart," you automatically think everything will be convenient and solving modern city's everyday problems through technological advances that are very promising. What dreams are made of for cities and towns. Unfortunately, the problems and downside of Smart Cities outweighs the Pros and benefits. Smart Cities are extremely Orwellian, and are a danger to both privacy and personal rights for all Americans that will also be connected to your Smartphone whether we want to deny it completely. Regular Nevadans and all Americans will absolutely be freak out of their erosion of privacy in the creepiest way. Smart Cities will know everything about you, your moves, talks, and plans, so on. Everyone will be controlled at the end of the day but by whom? The Government will know everything about you more than ever before and will have a centralized database, data mining, or Big Data. Much worse than our computers and cellphones. It will be surveillance on steroids. Smart Cities will also have the ability to deter peaceful protests and assemblies by the hands of the wrong people. We sometimes have bad leaders throughout our nation's history, just imagine what would happen if our information or data falls on the wrong hands that might not have the best intentions in mind for us in the not so distant future. In my opinion, it is obvious to me that having a Smart City that protects privacy at the same time would be an impossible task to do. The problems would not be solved anytime soon if Smart Cities are implemented. That's how human nature works; hoping to fix the problem some day but nothing is solved. Don't forget that it's a very possibility that Smart Cities will be interconnected with your social credit score and the coming Central Bank Digital Currency; I'm not even exaggerating or fear mongering. The idea of a CBDC actually frightens me to the core. I can't stop thinking about it almost everyday unfortunately. It's not that far fetch at all. A CBDC will open the door for a much possibility reality of a social credit score system. Everything will be interconnected with the Digital Mobile's Driver's License and Digital IDs. Oh yes, even CCTV Surveillance Cameras, and the Smart Grids and Freeways. I hope many states with counties and cities do something against the troubling trend of Smart Cities. The majority of your constituents are not even aware of what's happening. Help share the word to your colleagues within your county or city. However, I have not emailed a similar message like this one to the Nevada State Legislature and Planning Association or you could help me reach out to them if you can on this topic of Smart Cities and other similar technologies. I really hope you don't ignore my letter and concerns for others completely from your agenda and priorities. Meanwhile, if you feel pressure by some from the state agency financially to implement Smart Cities, please don't give in for the good of your county, city, and state. Don't back down. Resist resist resist that turns out to policy for future administrations in your county and city before it's too late. That includes future candidates. I even hope you don't

see all these goals as burdensome, overwhelming, and wishful thinking or impossible. I also hope you don't ignore, betray, or abandon your unaware constituents; putting their interests first despite of how the state agency works. In other words, having an open mind. Nothing is impossible. There's actually more to say about Smart Cities. Obviously, there are videos about them on the internet that explains the problems more specifically but check out these short videos, and articles that are somewhat in order. I apologize for my long letter, and the list of videos and articles down below in advance; please don't ignore completely.

Oh yes, I really really really hope some of these information doesn't personally offend or scare you easily, that's not my intention at all. Related topics; everything will be interconnected with Smart Cities at the end of the day.
theintercept.com/2021/08/06/infrastructure-bill-smart-city-surveillance/
'Smart Cities' Are Surveilled Cities-<https://foreignpolicy.com/2021/04/17/smart-cities-surveillance-privacy-digital-threats-internet-of-things-5g/>
[https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2014/dec/17/truth-smart-city-destroy-democracy-urban-thinkers-buzzphrase?](https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2014/dec/17/truth-smart-city-destroy-democracy-urban-thinkers-buzzphrase?CMP=share_btn_link)
theintercept.com/2020/01/27/surveillance-cctv-smart-camera-networks/

<https://youtu.be/VRRPy-yEKRm>
<https://youtu.be/ygK6gY9b5VY>
<https://youtu.be/1t12UqYI5SA>
<https://youtu.be/CLo3e1Pak-Y>
<https://youtu.be/OQ5LnY21Hgc>
<https://youtu.be/0cGB8dCDf3c>

<https://youtu.be/ZkzUfy-pnmE> (26:10)
Take Your Time!

privateinternetaccess.com/blog/imf-suggests-that-your-credit-score-could-be-based-on-your-internet-history/

<https://www.ledgerinsights.com/u-s-legislators-publish-multiple-cbdc-bills-with-privacy-emphasis/>
(OR/&) [forbes.com/sites/norbertmichel/2022/04/12/central-bank-digital-currencies-are-about--they-should-be-stopped?](https://forbes.com/sites/norbertmichel/2022/04/12/central-bank-digital-currencies-are-about--they-should-be-stopped?sh=24ec615f6999)
[sh=24ec615f6999](https://forbes.com/sites/norbertmichel/2022/04/12/central-bank-digital-currencies-are-about--they-should-be-stopped?sh=24ec615f6999)

eff.org/deeplinks/2021/07/dhss-flawed-plan-mobile-drivers-licenses
privateinternetaccess.com/blog/big-win-top-court-rules-uk-mass-interception-violates-the-right-to-privacy/
resilience.org/stories/2011-03-23/problems-smart-grids/
theintercept.com/2020/09/13/police-surveillance-technology-operation-legend/



CITY OF
RENO

City Clerk's Office
City Clerk (775)334-2030
[1 East First Street, 2nd Floor Reno, NV 89501](#)
cityclerk@reno.gov
[Reno.Gov](#) | Connect with us: [f](#) [t](#) [@](#) [in](#) [d](#)



New form response notification

1 message

Reno City Council Online Public Comment Received <cityclerk@reno.gov>

Sat, Aug 6, 2022 at 10:44 AM

Reply-To: cityclerk@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

Your Name (First and Last)	Kevin Towers
If you are representing someone other than yourself, please indicate who you are representing.	Freshies Restaurant in The Basement
Email Address	krobtow77@yahoo.com
Address	50 N. Virginia
Phone Number	4065646119
Which City of Reno Ward do you reside?	Unsure/Other
Council Meeting Date	Sep 14, 2022
Do you wish to speak in person at the meeting?	Yes (Check-in with the City Clerk upon arrival)
Agenda Item	Transient theft and bother
Please state if you are in favor or in opposition of the agenda item in which you are commenting:	In opposition
Your Comment	Someone needs to speak up on the transient theft and bother in our local small businesses.
Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?	No
By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted	Yes

by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes



New form response notification

1 message

Reno City Council Online Public Comment Received <cityclerk@reno.gov>

Fri, Aug 5, 2022 at 5:53 PM

Reply-To: cityclerk@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

Your Name (First and Last)	Mark
Which City of Reno Ward do you reside?	Ward 3
Council Meeting Date	Aug 10, 2022
Do you wish to speak in person at the meeting?	No (Digital comment only)
Agenda Item	General Public Comment
Please state if you are in favor or in opposition of the agenda item in which you are commenting:	In favor
Your Comment	<p>Good Morning Mayor Schieve,</p> <p>I am contacting you today to ask for your leadership in creating a formal ban on the practice of keeping roosters in the Reno city limits.</p> <p>I understand that chickens are allowed. But where is the logic, or justification, for keeping roosters in the city limits? People who raise chickens in the city do bring with that hobby a serious rodent problem to all their fence sharing neighbors. We have to deal with that mouse problem first hand and daily. As this is the case, the neighborly thing to do by those with chickens would be to at least not also keep roosters in the city limits. Roosters are a well known noise nuisance and do not belong in a dense population area.</p> <p>Please stand up and take the lead on this issue for the majority of Reno citizens who do not keep chickens, and ban the keeping of roosters inside the city limits. It would be best to include an amnesty period allowing people to find a home for their rooster I believe.</p> <p>I first reached out to my Ward 3 rep on this a over a year ago. His office told me that I need to accept it, to be more understanding, that this is a cultural thing. I disagree, City of Sparks disagrees, and hope you would as well. I've also contacted Washoe County animal control. Animal control instructed me that they treat a rooster noise complaint like a dog noise complaint. I am required to file multiple complaints while keeping a written diary of the noise events. With the end result being eventually creating a legal case if the neighbor will not make the rooster be quiet.</p> <p>It is widely understood that roosters are a seriously loud, noise projector...how reliably they are noisy...and when. They are not at all like a</p>

dog.

Could it be as simple as amending the existing chicken ordinance? Please help to move, and keep, this rural animal out of the city. Thank you very much.

Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?

No

By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

Yes

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes



New form response notification

1 message

Reno City Council Online Public Comment Received <cityclerk@reno.gov>

Sun, Jul 31, 2022 at 11:19 PM

Reply-To: cityclerk@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Your form has a new entry. Here are all the answers.

Your Name (First and Last) Stephen Van Zee

If you are representing someone other than yourself, please indicate who you are representing. Representing myself

Email Address swvanzee@yahoo.com

Address [300 Jackson Springs Drive](#), Reno, Nevada 89523

Phone Number 7757990530

Which City of Reno Ward do you reside? Ward 5

Council Meeting Date Aug 04, 2022

Do you wish to speak in person at the meeting? No (Digital comment only)

Agenda Item A.3., General Public Comment

Please state if you are in favor or in opposition of the agenda item in which you are commenting: No position stated - Concerned or Neutral

Your Comment

RE: RFP 2023-01, Landscape Right of Way Maintenance
As a property owner within Northgate 19/La Salle Heights Landscape Maintenance District, I strongly object to the City response in RFP 2023-01, Addendum 1. The bidder question and City's response are as follows:
Bidder: Some of the areas in the RFP (especially in Stead) could really use a one-time cleanup prior to transitioning to into the maintenance phase... would the cost for the cleanup need to be included in our cost to maintain those areas in the RFP?
City: The cost for cleanup should be factored into the overall cost to maintain. There should be no separate charge for initial cleaning.
The City's response lacks clarity because neither the original RFP nor Addendum define the terms "one-time cleanup," "cleanup," or "initial cleaning." The RFP and Addendum do not identify what "cleanup" must be

completed; i.e., work that is separate and distinct from "maintenance." As a result, bidders have no clear direction on the city's expectations for "cleanup," and property owners within the nine Landscape Maintenance Districts, who are assessed semi-annual fees to pay for landscape maintenance, have no clear guidance to evaluate whether the City and the Contractor are complying with contract terms.

Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?

No

By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

Yes

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes



Voice Message From: Cisco Unity Connection Messaging System (917756360469)

1 message

publiccomment@reno.gov <publiccomment@reno.gov>

Thu, Aug 4, 2022 at 1:42 PM

Reply-To: DonomaUnity@reno.gov

To: publiccomment@reno.gov

Voice Message delivered by Donoma Unify

From: 917756360469

Click to Call 917756360469

Hi Reno, Nevada. If you come the junkyard on the streets, people are depositing unregistered. Wrecked cars on the streets in these neighborhoods and they don't even live here, I had called The Parking Department time and time again, and they will not respond. Whoever is running that department is not doing their job and they need to be replaced, but we cannot have all these abandon cars on the street in Raleigh Heights and they're not registered. They are being vandalize. It is attracting crime and children are beginning to play around. These damaged cars, which is going to cause injury. If all, then come back to the City of Reno in a lawsuit, something needs to be done.

(Transcription with medium confidence)

The information contained in this e-mail and any attachments is privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or e-mail, and then please destroy all content contained within this communication from your files. Thank you.



VoiceMessage.wav

360K