

[illegible]



Fw: Reno City Council Item D.2 - Santerra-Quilici Railroad Crossing Corrected Information

From Mikki Huntsman <HuntsmanM@reno.gov>

Date Tue 12/10/2024 2:11 PM

To Public Comment - CC <PublicComment@reno.gov>

Please log for item A.3 on December 11, 2024.

Take care,



Mikki Huntsman

([She/Her/Hers](#))

City Clerk

City Clerk's Office

775-334-2030 (o) or 775-399-4741 (c)

HuntsmanM@Reno.Gov

1 E. First St., Reno, NV 89505

Reno.Gov | Connect with us: [f](#) [t](#) [@](#) [in](#) [d](#)

From: Dee Ann Radcliffe <radcliffe@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2024 7:24 AM

To: City Clerk <CityClerk@reno.gov>; Meghan Ebert <EbertM@reno.gov>; Naomi Duerr <DuerrN@reno.gov>; Jackie Bryant <BryantJ@reno.gov>; Miguel Martinez <MartinezMi@reno.gov>; Kathleen Taylor <TaylorK@reno.gov>; Brandi Anderson <AndersonB@reno.gov>; Devon Reese <reeseD@reno.gov>

Subject: Reno City Council Item D.2 - Santerra-Quilici Railroad Crossing Corrected Information

Hello!

I am reaching out because I just finished listening to the December 4, 2024 Reno City Council Meeting and item D.2.

This item concerns the Santerra-Quilici Development and the UPRR Crossing. During this item the Development Services Assistant Director presented information that was inaccurate.

It was stated during the questioning by Councilwoman Duerr that traffic will not, "Go through the crossing to get to I-80" and this is incorrect. To access I-80 West, traffic WILL utilize the a UPRR Crossing - either at the terminus of Crystal Park Road or Bridge Street.

This was discussed during the 12/16/2020 Reno City Planning Commission Meeting and included in Santerra documents and will create over 3,000 ADT's. I've attached the minutes below.

I would like to request that this information be included in the record for this topic and that the minutes from the December 4, 2024 Reno City Council Meeting be corrected

to note this information.

Sincerely,
Dee Ann Radcliffe

I.1.1.e**Minutes****Reno City Planning Commission****December 16, 2020**

Mark Rotter, Manhard Consulting, answered questions from Commissioner Gower regarding secondary access and other traffic concerns. The secondary access that comes from the upper portion of the site goes up the hill to the future school site and Village 7. Most of the traffic in the upper level will exit out Garson Road. The majority of traffic will head toward Reno. Traffic that goes west will have to go through the existing street system. He explained they have been working with the railroad for about a year and are looking at a couple of different alignments that could also solve the secondary access issues.

Paul Solaegui, Solaegui Engineers, answered questions from Commissioner Gower regarding traffic impacts. Around one fifth of the overall traffic of the Santerra/Quilici project could be oriented toward the Half Diamond Interchange with roughly eighty percent off of Garson Road. The current application for Santerra/Quilici is about 12,000 ADT so one fifth is a little over 2,000 cars that could be oriented that direction. The intersections do have sufficient capacity to accommodate those volumes and still meet the Level of Service (LOS) standards. The current volume on S. Verdi Road at the Half Diamond Intersection is 300 existing average daily trips (ADT) under the bridge. This project will add 1,675 trips under the bridge. To the south of the bridge the increase will be 3,050 ADT, and that is what would be applied to the railroad crossing. Those increases seem large, however, the existing LOS at the on and off ramps at the Half Diamond Interchange are LOS A. With the cumulative project traffic the LOS stays in the B range.

Mr. Baker answered questions from Commissioner Johnson regarding the need for another secondary access to the south for the future area of Village 12. As proposed currently there is secondary access through an existing rail crossing. We have been working with the railroad and have yet to get a final determination on whether or not this access all the way to the south is something that will be viable for them. Because of that uncertainty, we have come up with a few different routes in order to fulfill that condition. If for some reason the railroad does not allow us to utilize the existing crossing to the south, we would provide secondary access on the same access route for the tank site and tie it back in to the development to fulfill that condition.

Mr. Gilbert answered questions from Commissioner Marshall regarding whether or not the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) will be improving the Garson overpass. The bridge is identified for improvement but it will be significantly out in the future.

Commission Minutes (Excerpt) - December 16, 2020 (13091 : 1) LDC21-00017 (Santerra Quilici Properties) - staff report)



Re: Feedback for City of Reno

From Public Comment - CC <PublicComment@reno.gov>

Date Tue 12/10/2024 3:49 PM

To cspotted@aol.com <cspotted@aol.com>

Cc Public Comment - CC <PublicComment@reno.gov>

Hi ,

Thank you for your comments. They will be sent to the Reno City Council for review and will be part of the official record.

*Please note, for consistency in record keeping please email all future comments to Publiccomment@reno.gov.

Take care,

City Clerk's Office

City Clerk (775) 334-2030

1 East First Street, 2nd Floor Reno, NV 89501

cityclerk@reno.gov

From: Jennifer Pawliszyn <PawliszynJ@reno.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2024 3:21 PM

To: Public Comment - CC <PublicComment@reno.gov>

Subject: FW: Feedback for City of Reno

Good afternoon,

We received this feedback on the website, which appears best suited for public comment. Please see below.

Thank you!



Jennifer Pawliszyn

([She/Her/Hers](#))

Lead Citizen Service Representative

City Manager's Office- Innovation & Experience

PawliszynJ@reno.gov

1 E. First St., Reno, NV 89501

[Reno.Gov](#) | Connect with us: [f](#) [X](#) [@](#) [in](#) [v](#) [t](#) [p](#)

PUBLIC RECORDS NOTICE: In accordance with NRS Chapter 239, this email, responses, and all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction.

From: City of Reno <reno@enotify.visioninternet.com>

Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2024 12:50 PM

To: Webmaster <Webmaster@reno.gov>

Subject: Feedback for City of Reno

You have received this feedback from Carolyn A <cspotted@aol.com> for the following page:

<https://www.reno.gov/how-do-i/watch-and-learn/city-council-meetings-online>

Thank you for looking at the enforcement of loud vehicles. It is a constant nuisance at my office by the airport-I hear the loud vehicles (cars and motorcycles) more than the planes. I also bike ride and walk, only to get my ears blown out when one passes by.



City Council Comment received from Thomas Snider

From Mikki Huntsman <HuntsmanM@reno.gov>

Date Tue 12/10/2024 3:33 PM

To Public Comment - CC <PublicComment@reno.gov>

Contact Info:

Name:

Thomas Snider

Commenting on behalf of:

KWNK Reno Community Radio

Ward #:

Ward 3

Email Address:

tom@kwnkradio.org

Phone Number:

7752348446

Address:

136 Vesta Street, Reno NV 89502

A new comment has been submitted for the Reno City Council Meeting held on: 2024-12-11.

Section:

General Opening Session Comment (unassociated to an agenda item)

Item:

General Comment.

Position:

No position stated - Concerned or Neutral

Are they speaking in person?

No, I am submitting a written comment only.

If no, enter comments below:

On behalf of KWNK Community Radio, we sincerely thank the City of Reno for supporting our Jazz + Indie Mind Meld event held at The Holland Project on December 7, 2024. This free, all-ages celebration of jazz's influence across genres brought together diverse community members to enjoy live music, crafting activities, and local refreshments. With the City's support, we ensured accessibility for all attendees, including a live broadcast on KWNK 97.7FM and global streaming on KWNKradio.org, allowing participation beyond the in-person event. We are also thrilled to have received the City of Reno Arts & Culture Event Grant for 2025, which will allow us to continue expanding our efforts to showcase local talent and foster community engagement. Your ongoing

support empowers us to bring meaningful cultural events to Reno and enrich the city's vibrant arts scene. Thank you for your commitment to supporting arts and culture in Reno. We look forward to continuing our partnership and delivering impactful programming for our community.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes

By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

Yes

Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?

Reno Connect is the best way to stay informed about the latest news and updates from the City of Reno. We'll never share your email address with third-party persons, companies or organizations. Visit www.Reno.Gov/RenoConnect to view all newsletter topic lists.

No