
I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Martin Fineman <dogcatdocreno@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Wed 10/9/2024 10:39 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Dr. Martin Fineman

9900 Wilbur May Pkwy Apt 2802 Reno, NV 89521-4028

dogcatdocreno@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Gina Hames <ginahames@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Wed 10/9/2024 8:50 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gina Hames

160 Ryland St Apt 1A Reno, NV 89501-2292

ginahames@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Nancy Radford <nancyradford@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Wed 10/9/2024 9:00 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

No thank you, please.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nancy Radford

7623 Jimson Dr Reno, NV 89511-1365

nancyradford@charter.net

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Pat Wallace <reno1334@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Wed 10/9/2024 7:30 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

First was to water down the Building Zoning Laws, now the beauty, and what could become beautiful they want to reverse a common signage ordinance? As one individual that had to work with the city in the 1970 & 1980s to get signage and Billboards approved for the Old Harrah's including preparing simple colors renderings of the signs for approval, to go to something that sounds like anything goes would be a shame and I'd be embarrassed to bring our guests downtown, so it would drive locals away from downtown.

As one would say "Don't"

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr. Pat Wallace

13340 Fieldcreek Ln Reno, NV 89511-6603

reno1334@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Carol Fiechtl Steiger <business4carol@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 1:38 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs Carol Fiechtl Steiger

3122 Vincinato Dr Sparks, NV 89434-7317

business4carol@yahoo.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Sharon Young <the_myrrhh@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 4:08 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Young

2300 Dickerson Rd Reno, NV 89503-4946

the_myrrhh@yahoo.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Thomas Beard <tebeard02@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 2:59 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

City has gone down hill for the last 20 years. Get the damn Republicans out of city hall and county government. We have almost no quality of life left in Reno.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Beard

13332 Travertine Ln Reno, NV 89511-5946

tebeard02@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Colleen Dane <colleendane@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 12:21 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Colleen Dane

4155 Flintlock Cir Reno, NV 89519-2968

colleendane@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Teresa Schriewer <schriewert@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 12:21 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Teresa Schriewer

1990 Geiger Grade Rd Reno, NV 89521-8447

schriewert@yahoo.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Bradley Schriewer <schriewerb@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 12:21 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr Bradley Schriewer

1990 Geiger Grade Rd Reno, NV 89521-8447

schriewerb@yahoo.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Erin Albright <erin@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 10:14 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown Reno is already an eyesore with the torn down buildings (mainly by Jacob's Entertainment and Seigel), failure of Jacob's Entertainment to bring its vision to fruition in a timely manner, homeless people everywhere, and the lack of any businesses/restaurants/experiences to draw locals and visitors. The addition of these signs will make Reno look even more low rent than it already. As a local who was born and raised in Reno, its a travesty to see what this city council has allowed to happen to downtown (and, not too mention, South Meadows/Damonte Ranch).

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Erin Albright

10663 Fire Poppy Cir Reno, NV 89521-6272

erin@renotahoelife.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Renee Lauderback <chickeata@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 10:35 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

!!!!!! WHAT THE HECK ARE YOU PEOPLE THINKING????!!!!!!

If you truly do love Reno, which in your position you better, or leave,,,, You WOULD PUT AN IMMEDIATE STOP TO THE DISGUSTING NOTION OF OBNOXIOUS, OFFENSIVE and DISTASTEFUL Neon and large signage in our already suffering downtown area!!!!!! How WRONG of you!!! WAKE UP !!!

Downtown Reno used to be beautiful,,,Look what you've done to the entire downtown area! The character has been obliterated block by block. IS MONEY THE ONLY THING YOU CARE ABOUT?! Ugly mega apartment buildings looming everywhere right at the sidewalks!!! Zero conscientious foresight, zero class, zero consideration on your part leaves us true Renoites wondering what is wrong with our City officials brains!

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too. PLEASE WAKE UP.... Maybe someone should put a neon sign up by your bedroom window.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Renee Lauderback
2021 Watt St Reno, NV 89509-3763
chickeata@yahoo.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Lynne Cuttone <lcuttone.lc@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:18 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynne Cuttone

10635 Birch Point Ct Reno, NV 89521-6267

lcuttone.lc@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Gaia Brown <gaiabrown@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:38 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

We are the Biggest Little City -- not a poor person's Las Vegas. Growth is inevitable, but trashy glitz doesn't have to be. The flashes of sun off the Truckee and the snow on the mountains give us the kind of vibe we should be promoting. And downtown should be at least as much for the locals as for tourists. And you know, we might attract more tourists if we emphasize our natural beauty.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gaia Brown

4345 Mountaingate Dr Reno, NV 89519-7916

gaiabrown@me.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Charlotte Voitoff <cvoitoff@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:40 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlotte Voitoff

3310 Sunnyvale Ave Reno, NV 89509-5057

cvoitoff@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Mike Barnes <mblouisphotography@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Mon 10/7/2024 11:13 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Barnes

455 E 2nd St Reno, NV 89502-1020

mblouisphotography@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Susan Stephens <s.stephens.ret.un@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Mon 10/7/2024 7:23 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

Before I start, allow me to reiterate that we Americans are the ultimate energy hogs. Even in these times of climate emergency. Profit appears to be the blanket just.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too. Bad taste?

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms Susan Stephens

245 McClellan Dr Washoe Valley, NV 89704-9728

s.stephens.ret.un@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Sara Lafrance <saralafrance1@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Mon 10/7/2024 6:10 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

It is frustrating to see the city consider discarding existing sign regulations, which are meant to regulate the proliferation of digital and other signs. We see this as an invitation to downtown property owners to clutter their businesses with multiple signs "by right" – no public input, no public hearings.

Allowing more signs won't improve the aesthetics of downtown, which has a somewhat tacky and outdated vibe. Over time, it could lead to sign wars with businesses competing for attention like carnival barkers with megaphones. We see no reason to allow more garish digital signs and sign clutter to make it worse.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sara Lafrance

6005 Lake Geneva Dr Reno, NV 89511-5050

saralafrance1@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Elizabeth Weyman <eweyman@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Mon 10/7/2024 9:48 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elizabeth Weyman

15140 Redmond Loop Reno, NV 89511-4579

eweyman@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Tom Clark <tclark742@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Mon 10/7/2024 9:43 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

Hillary ShieveCity staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr Tom Clark

3145 Penfield Cir Reno, NV 89502-9639

tclark742@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From David Horne <kinfolk-09.infirm@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Mon 10/7/2024 4:02 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

You will lose the "Biggest Little City " reputation and increase the light pollution so that no stars will be visible!

Come on who is passing money under the table?

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr David Horne

6158 Sunrise Meadows Loop Reno, NV 89519-7382

kinfolk-09.infirm@icloud.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Susan Lewis <notsosuttle1@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Mon 10/7/2024 8:56 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Lewis

3805 Aspen Holw Reno, NV 89511-3288

notsosuttle1@hotmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Jacqueline Dawson <jacquendawson@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Mon 10/7/2024 8:37 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jacqueline Dawson

535 Marsh Ave Reno, NV 89509-1416

jacquendawson@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Mark Wray <mwrap@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sun 10/6/2024 9:25 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

The City staff is pushing for unlimited signage in the "entertainment" district. If you allow that, are you going to then allow other businesses in the city to do the same thing? If the staff gets its way and signs 100 feet tall sprout up all over downtown, might as well throw out all of Reno's sign ordinances and call ourselves "Las Vegas North". I don't particularly care for what I see in Las Vegas, and a large part of that is the seeming unlimited signage everywhere. We can be better than Las Vegas. We ARE better than Las Vegas. Don't turn our town into a junkbox of big, flashing, ugly signs. The people of this town voted in 2000 to be rid of billboards. While the signs that staff are proposing would be on-premise signs, if the people were allowed to vote, they would be opposed to unlimited on-premises signs just like they are opposed to billboards. Why staff would want to push this on our citizens is anyone's guess, but it makes no sense and it is not only poor planning, it is the opposite of planning at all.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Wray

2802 Outlook Dr Reno, NV 89509-3951

mwrap@markwraylaw.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Cheri Martin <cheriamartin99@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sun 10/6/2024 7:57 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Really I can't believe you are serious with this. The light pollution alone is astonishing here in Reno. Casinos and downtown don't have to look like this. If they get to put up a digital sign they should have to plant 100 trees in this paved desert. Show some foresight.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms Cheri Martin

4289 Cutting Horse Cir Reno, NV 89519-2998

cheriamartin99@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Linda Mezieres <mitchmez@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sun 10/6/2024 6:56 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Mezieres

1333 W Mulberry Dr Phoenix, AZ 85013-4028

mitchmez@hotmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Jean Sprague <jeansprague26@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sun 10/6/2024 4:33 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean Sprague

173 Hanby Ave Bishop, CA 93514-2820

jeansprague26@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Marilyn Naylor <naylorhome@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sun 10/6/2024 3:43 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

Please do not allow changes to the sign code that would allow any business in the downtown district to have practically unlimited signage regulations.

The public has been led to believe that Reno's new brand is as a hub for the tech industry and as a home to many great new innovative businesses. The University of Nevada, very near downtown, is a key part of that identity and will contribute to that vision as a "leader in research and creativity". A myriad of signs in Reno's city center will not characterize that new brand. UNR students and potential permanent residents will definitely not be attracted to live in the downtown area as brightly lit signs create light pollution that negatively impacts mental health and human behavior.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marilyn Naylor

1005 Dunbar Dr Washoe Valley, NV 89704-9201

naylorhome@charter.net

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From John Linden <nyyankeejohn@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sun 10/6/2024 2:33 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Linden

9955 Bandana Way Reno, NV 89521-6163

nyyankeejohn@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Shelley Beckett <shelbeck1168@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sun 10/6/2024 9:27 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shelley Beckett

5415 Fenno Way Reno, NV 89519-2195

shelbeck1168@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Robert Tschida <bobtschida1@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sun 10/6/2024 9:21 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Tschida

3022 Sydney Cir Washoe Valley, NV 89704-9770

bobtschida1@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From S Belk <tastodo@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sun 10/6/2024 7:12 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

What is the purpose of allowing the signage to become tacky? Are you purposely trying to chase people (and their money) away? I already have no problem seeing the casino signs from south Reno.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. S Belk

2414 Iron Square Dr Reno, NV 89521-8658

tastodo@aol.com



Outlook

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Barbara Lee <bjlcpa@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sun 10/6/2024 5:34 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Lee

PO Box 519 Spicewood, TX 78669-0519

bjlcpa@aol.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Joan Love <joannecatlover@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 9:26 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joan Love

2245 Peavine Valley Rd Reno, NV 89523-3825

joannecatlover@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From mary sande <marysande@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 6:17 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

Dear Council Members,

Our community has the right to ban unlimited signage, large billboards and especially digital ones; (Note Austin vs Reagan 2022) for the sake of aesthetic, safety and environmental concerns. Too many details for this message but---

Reno's current metro population is 538,000 and growing and do you see them flocking to the downtown area?--NO. Will I bring my visitors from out of town downtown?--NO

I like casinos and what they offer but I don't like the vibe on the streets and this Unlimited Signage is just another damaging effect on an already trashy area.

I can see this type of advertising on billboards along highways but next to the property is hard to justify and wonder if it works anyway. Flooding a street with multiple signs is not effective.

Signage should not be unlimited. If a business wants a new sign then the old ones have to be taken down.

I am strongly against this proposal and request that you do not change the City's sign code

Thank You,

Mary Sande

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. mary sande

4284 Ross Dr Reno, NV 89519-2943

marysande@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Jesse Ruppert <nikomagirl@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 5:57 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

Nevada is such a beautiful state. Northern Nevada is distinctly different from Las Vegas, we should strive to not only maintain, but also increase this difference. Rather than more lights, how about less? In the far north we are blessed with a dark sky sanctuary. Our little city has grown so much that even on the outskirts one can barely see the Milky Way. Have you considered instead a shift to dark sky-friendly lighting for the entire city? This would be much better for our citizens, not to mention the environment and cost to the city and patrons for lights. Please do not allow further light pollution to our city.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jesse Ruppert

5895 Sunset Ridge Ct Reno, NV 89511-4363

nikomagirl@hotmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Mary Sande <marysande@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 5:38 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Sande

4284 Ross Dr Reno, NV 89519-2943

marysande@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Kathy Newman <newmankelly@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 5:02 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

We already have too much light pollution. And one of the wonderful things about Reno is that it is not Vegas.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms Kathy Newman

4490 Reno, NV 89509

newmankelly@comcast.net

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From George Woodside <grwsystems@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 4:57 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr George Woodside

2370 Lincoln Meadows Dr Reno, NV 89521-5229

grwsystems@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Cynthia Kimball <cindykimball25@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 4:40 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cynthia Kimball

5565 Wedgewood Cir Sparks, NV 89436-3716

cindykimball25@hotmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Lisa Daines <daineslisa@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 12:25 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs Lisa Daines

235 Falling Water Ct Reno, NV 89519-2167

daineslisa@charter.net

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From John Gray <john.f.gry@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 12:09 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Dr. John Gray

4284 Muirwood Cir Reno, NV 89509-7002

john.f.gry@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Mike Lewandowski <baplew.michael@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 10:35 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mike Lewandowski

3370 Southamptn Dr Reno, NV 89509-6837

baplew.michael@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Michael Johnson <m.johnson8993@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 9:46 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr Michael Johnson

5485 Fenno Way Reno, NV 89519-2195

m.johnson8993@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Sandi Beckett <skbeckett53@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 9:26 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandi Beckett

5485 Fenno Way Reno, NV 89519-2195

skbeckett53@gmail.com

Comments on new proposed signage language in the RMC

From Gary Cecil <garycecil621@msn.com>

Date Sat 10/5/2024 9:25 AM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>; Lauren Knox <KnoxL@Reno.Gov>

Good morning,

While I accept that our Downtown casinos have valid reasons for wanting large signs to advertise their business, I am opposed to broadening the RMC to all any and all businesses to have the right to up to 100 foot high signage in Downtown Reno.

As a long time Downtown resident, my vision for Downtown is a true mixed use where Residents' interests are equally balanced with those of businesses. For me, this means that the appearance of Downtown is equally balanced such that Residents are not bombarded with large signs in their neighborhood.

In my opinion, the current, suggested signage revisions are heavily slanted to the benefit of business interests and are not compatible to Residential uses, especially when the City of Reno wants to attract more infill for residential growth.

Kind Regards,
Gary Cecil
255 N Sierra St
Reno

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Carol Raphel <c.raphel@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Fri 10/4/2024 8:54 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Additionally, it will add to the growing light pollution that is destroying what is left of any dark skies over the Truckee Meadows. The flashing digital signs already installed on casinos are a night-time nuisance to anyone living outside the downtown core, especially the GSR signage.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Raphel

3240 Markridge Dr Reno, NV 89509-8906

c.raphel@att.net

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Ashleigh Henry <hen.ash.dev@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Fri 10/4/2024 8:27 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ashleigh Henry

1310 Lander St Reno, NV 89509-2654

hen.ash.dev@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Tracy Girdwood <tracygirdwood@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Fri 10/4/2024 6:37 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tracy Girdwood

1170 Wyoming Ave Reno, NV 89503-3321

tracygirdwood@att.net

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Paula Scholer <bodhidiva@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Fri 10/4/2024 5:18 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

We are not and do not want to be Las Vegas!

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms Paula Scholer

15155 Western Springs Dr Reno, NV 89521-8487

bodhidiva@yahoo.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Joanne Husar <husarj@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Fri 10/4/2024 3:57 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joanne Husar

1622 W 25th St Apt 4 Los Angeles, CA 90007-1530

husarj@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Lori Wray <lwrap@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Fri 10/4/2024 3:14 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

I don't understand why staff is reaching back to a previous version of code to justify allowing the biggest signs possible for the non-casino businesses in the downtown entertainment district. Reno citizens have shown their opposition to big, bright signs over and over again. Reno voters overwhelmingly voted to ban new billboards. I know these signs aren't billboards, but they could be even bigger, taller and definitely brighter (because digital billboards are banned, too) than any that we have in town. Downtown looks outdated and cluttered now. Adding more sign clutter will just make it worse. Forward thinking cities have strong sign controls to protect the aesthetics for everyone. Certainly, they don't reach into the past. This proposal isn't in line with what's been accomplished so far to limit signs and provide a better aesthetic.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting. That means big, bright digital displays, running videos, or flashing changing messages day and night - by right - no public hearings, no public input.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Wray

2802 Outlook Dr Reno, NV 89509-3951

lwrap@markwraylaw.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Judith Lockwood <sarman.lockwood@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Fri 10/4/2024 3:07 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Judith Lockwood

3810 N Westpoint Dr Reno, NV 89509-6874

sarman.lockwood@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Thelma Matlin <thelmatmatlin@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Fri 10/4/2024 2:40 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Light pollution is not good for birds. The distraction to drives is dangerous. There is no redeeming value to these signs.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Thelma Matlin

4755 Bradford Ln Reno, NV 89519-0936

thelmatmatlin@gmail.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Paul Malikowski <paul@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Fri 10/4/2024 2:35 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Malikowski

4755 Cedarhill Ln Reno, NV 89519-0939

paul@nvlaw.com

I am writing to you today regarding a very important issue

From Max Duchaine <max.duchaine@everyactioncustom.com>

Date Fri 10/4/2024 2:02 PM

To Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Dear Angela Fuss,

I am opposed to allowing all businesses in the downtown Mixed-Use – Entertainment District (MD-ED) the same, almost unlimited, signage as casinos.

City staff has prepared changes to the sign code to allow any business – from pawn shops to liquor stores – in the downtown district in addition to casinos to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on number, size or types of lighting.

This is an invitation for more digital displays as big as those put up by casinos. It's also a license to expand sign clutter and further degrade the aesthetics of our city. And having unlimited signage in the "entertainment district" will encourage applications for zone changes by nearby businesses who happen to be outside the entertainment district, so that they can have unlimited signs flashing day and night, too.

Downtown has a reputation as a tired looking honky-tonk of a place. There is no reason to allow more tacky digital signs and unnecessary sign clutter to make it worse. Please protect our downtown from further degradation by scrapping this proposal to allow non-casino businesses practically unlimited signage regulations that have always been reserved for casinos.

Sincerely,

Mr. Max Duchaine

1012 14th St NW Washington, DC 20005-3403

max.duchaine@scenic.org

RE: Draft sign code changes

From Lauren Knox <KnoxL@Reno.Gov>

Date Wed 10/2/2024 5:42 PM

To lwwray@markwraylaw.com <lwwray@markwraylaw.com>; Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Cc John Hara <countertourist@gmail.com>; Joyce Thompson <jreno1968@gmail.com>;
leah.sanders14@gmail.com <leah.sanders14@gmail.com>; Mark Wray <mwwray@markwraylaw.com>

Hi Lori,

At this time, we are not planning to make any changes to the redline draft that was presented last week. We anticipate bringing the same draft to the Planning Commission and City Council so that everyone is reviewing the same proposed edits. We will provide both the Planning Commission and City Council with a summary of the feedback received, as well as the written public feedback provided.

Following review and input at the Planning Commission and City Council meetings, we will develop a new proposed draft document based on the feedback and direction received.

Thank you,
Lauren



Lauren Knox, AICP

Senior Planner
Development Services
775-334-2372 (o) or 775-433-8971 (c)
KnoxL@Reno.Gov
1 E. First St., Reno, NV 89501

Reno.Gov | Connect with us:      

From: Lori Wray <lwwray@markwraylaw.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 1:27 PM

To: Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>; Lauren Knox <KnoxL@Reno.Gov>

Cc: John Hara <countertourist@gmail.com>; Joyce Thompson <jreno1968@gmail.com>;
leah.sanders14@gmail.com; Mark Wray <mwwray@markwraylaw.com>

Subject: Draft sign code changes

Hi Angela and Lauren,

I was wondering if there will be any changes to the draft presented last week since the stakeholder and community meetings have concluded. You had mentioned in a previous email that the proposed changes could be withdrawn if there were "disagreements from the stakeholders and broader community." I'm in

From: [David Porter](#)
To: [Lauren Knox](#)
Subject: Signing regulations: alternatives to consider
Date: Tuesday, September 24, 2024 12:09:23 PM

Large signs. Only allow large signs if you can eliminate 2 or more billboards for each new sign. Otherwise, please do not allow any more new large signs. We should eliminate the large sign exception for casinos. Why should they get a special break for taking peoples money? I support not adding more sign pollution in Reno or Washoe County!

From: [Gennie Alderson](#)
To: [Lauren Knox](#)
Subject: Expanding Use of Casino Sign Regulations to other Downtown Businesses
Date: Tuesday, September 24, 2024 6:11:16 PM

Hello -

I am very much OPPOSED to the City of Reno expanding casino sign regulations to other downtown businesses. Our downtown area has gotten junkier & junkier in the last five years or more due in part to Mr. "J" coming in, getting special privileges/incentives and tearing down multiple motels along West Fourth Street (which at least were temporary shelter for housing insecure people who now roam the streets throughout Reno). His large, flashing sign on the side of the J. Resort building, the music piped out into the wider neighborhood, and the tacky looking "Neon District" fabric signs (in shreds at times) which are attached to chain link fencing around vacant lots are all repugnant in my view. I feel like the city council (and/or planning department) have sold us all down the river (no pun or racial slur intended).

What we needed in the West Fourth Street corridor was more affordable housing units, not another "entertainment" district! And what we really don't need are more gigantic flashing signs – we already have enough light pollution! Thank you.

Gennie Alderson
Reno Resident and Homeowner

From: kswan775@gmail.com
To: [Lauren Knox](#)
Subject: Casino signage
Date: Monday, September 23, 2024 3:21:34 PM

I oppose allowing casino sign size ordinances to apply to any other signage for non casino businesses in Reno.
Don't need additional sign blight. Thank you
Ken Swanson. kswan775@gmail.com 775-530-4665

From: [Peter Neumann](#)
To: [Lauren Knox](#)
Cc: [Karl Hall](#)
Subject: Casino sign Regulation proposal for expansion of use
Date: Friday, September 20, 2024 4:50:43 PM

September 20, 2024

To: Ms. Lauren Knox
Reno City Hall
One East First Street
Reno, Nevada 89501

Re: Reno Municipal Code "cleanup" amendment proposal relative to downtown Reno outdoor signs

Dear Ms. Knox:

I am Peter Chase Neumann, residing at 1 Elm Court, Reno, Nevada which is adjacent the south bank of the Truckee River and 250 west of the Promenade Center for Independent Living near the west end of Court Street. My home was built by Senator Frances Newlands, in the year 1890, the same year he built his residence, which is 200 feet west of my home.

I respectfully oppose the proposal to expand the city code (as it applies to casino sign regulations) to allow any other downtown Reno business owners or operators to construct, permit, or display additional billboards or signs on their owned or leased properties in the downtown Reno district.

I believe that to do so would be in violation of existing law, and the court-approved stipulation that was entered into and ratified by the Reno City Council and Scenic Nevada organization, in settlement of the long-standing litigation between those two parties.

I also believe that to expand the venerable negotiated settlement giving additional businesses such as bars, pawn shops, tattoo parlors, or any other business properties the right to construct large billboard sized outdoor "signs" would have an additional adverse effect upon Reno's downtown area, which is already affected by many adversities.

Only this week the downtown district of Reno was described only by the London Daily Mail as decidedly "tacky." [Murdoch children arrive for trial over dad Rupert's News Corp empire](#)





Murdoch children arrive for trial over dad
Rupert's News Corp empire

Meriam-Webster dictionary defines "tacky" as:

"Gaudy"; "Dowdy"; "Loud"; "Tawdry"; or "Trashy."

I have made Reno my home for 55 years. Sadly, I cannot disagree with the characterization of it in internationally distributed news publications like the London Daily Mail in describing to its international readers, the appearance and character of downtown Reno, Nevada USA.

Downtown Reno definitely is "tacky." In fact, it is an embarrassing eyesore that many Renoites that I know, are ashamed to display to visiting friends or relatives. I certainly am ashamed of it. When old friends visit me, I make a point of NOT taking them downtown. It is depressing. It is loud. It is ugly. It is **tacky**.

And if the City of Reno amends its code to allow even more billboards on downtown properties, this will only add to the "tackiness," and further discourage the development of attractive, inviting, eco-friendly and hospitable businesses. It will also constitute, in my opinion, a breach of the *implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing* which the City of Reno is obligated by the Rule of Law to afford to the Scenic Nevada organization and the people it represents. In other words, it will precipitate more litigation in the courts.

Please do NOT allow any more outdoor signs and billboards to be displayed in downtown Reno. Reno desperately needs less, not more, billboards.

Sincerely,

Peter Chase Neumann
1 Elm Court, Reno, NV 89501
775-742-3066

From: [Dennis Ghiglieri](#)
To: [Lauren Knox](#)
Subject: Reject allowing non-casino 100ft signs
Date: Friday, September 20, 2024 2:15:27 PM

To: Lauren Knox

I am opposed to expanding the use of casino sign regulations to other businesses downtown. I live near the 4th Street casino area and find that large signs are damaging to the sense of community.

Sincerely,

Dennis Ghiglieri
Reno, NV

From: donna@donnaholland.com
To: [Lauren Knox](#)
Subject: Business Signs
Date: Friday, September 20, 2024 12:58:26 PM

I am opposed to allowing other businesses to have the large signs historically reserved for casinos by expanding the use of casino sign regulations to other businesses downtown. . Let's preserve some of the beauty this valley offers and not make it into another Las Vegas.

Donna Holland
14290 E Windriver Ln
Reno, NV 89511

From: [adrienne.fisher](#)
To: [Lauren Knox](#)
Subject: Sign codes
Date: Friday, September 20, 2024 10:55:14 AM

Hello Ms. Knox, I'd like to express my concern that the City is changing the rules for 100 foot signs to include businesses that are not casinos. We live in a beautiful place, and I hate to think we would litter it with more signs (and billboards) than we already have. I am completely opposed to this change and would even be in support of moving the opposite direction where we don't allow ANY signage of 100 feet. Thanks for reading and sharing my thoughts with the appropriate people.

Adrienne Fisher
30+ year Reno resident

From: [julie uren](#)
To: [Lauren Knox](#)
Subject: Signs
Date: Friday, September 20, 2024 12:19:53 PM

Hello,
Please note I am adamantly opposed to more signage especially large digital signs in the Reno area.
Thank you,
Julie U'Ren

[Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

From: babyjean@protonmail.com
To: [Lauren Knox](#)
Subject: Ugly huge signs
Date: Friday, September 20, 2024 11:00:32 AM

Dear Lauren Knox,

We are opposed to the expansion of casino signs to other businesses. These signs are ugly and should be limited not expanded!

Sincerely,
Mr. Joseph Tiner
Mrs. Ronnie Tiner

the process of sending out an alert to our membership and I'd like to include the most current information that will go to the planning commission this month.

Thanks,

Lori

Lori Wray, Director
Scenic Nevada
608 Lander Street
Reno, NV 89509
775 848-8288

Re: Update on the City of Reno Title 18 - Sign Code

From Leah Sanders <leah.sanders14@gmail.com>

Date Sun 9/22/2024 7:13 PM

To lwray@markwraylaw.com <lwray@markwraylaw.com>

Cc Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>; Lauren Knox <KnoxL@Reno.Gov>; Mark Wray <mwray@markwraylaw.com>; John Hara <countertourist@gmail.com>; Joyce Thompson <jreno1968@gmail.com>; Alicia Barber <aliciabarber@gmail.com>; dkeats@sbcglobal.net <dkeats@sbcglobal.net>; toniharsh@charter.net <toniharsh@charter.net>; Peter Neumann <pcn@att.net>

Thank you Lori for stating clearly what has been on my mind as well. There is a phrase for what is going on, but it requires colorful inappropriate language. I'm not sure what the city's obligation is presently to developers who may want a big sign. This was not the intent as Title 18 was unveiled. It is not going to help Reno look great and be a city that benefits all. Yes, Reno is tacky...downtown is no longer a place I would want to go. I drive farther to my destinations just to avoid the tackiness.

I'll be on the webinar.

leah sanders

On Sep 22, 2024, at 5:23 PM, Lori Wray <lwray@markwraylaw.com> wrote:

Hi Angela,

Thanks very much for your email. My questions were what are the boundaries of the downtown entertainment district and how many parcels would get the casino signs, under your proposed draft. The map of the "Entertainment District" below, which is about as big as my thumbnail, doesn't really help. But looking at your second red-lined draft version (attached), the Gaming Overlay District on the sign chart was replaced with the MD-ED (Mixed-Use Downtown Entertainment District). The attached map of the MD-ED is from the GIS maps on the city's website. My understanding from your email is that every parcel in the MD-ED will be able to have 100-foot-tall signs by right that can be gigantic digital jumbotrons, because there are no limits on number, size and lighting.

I don't understand your reference to Section 18.08.405. As far as I can tell, it does not exist today in municipal code. I tried to find it in the Zoning Code on the city's website. It jumps from 18.08.403 to 18.18.501. The same is true for 18.16.207 and the table at 18.16-1. It doesn't exist in current code as far as I can tell.

What I think you may be trying to explain is that in an old code somewhere there was a reference to allowing the old DRRC designation to have large signs. That designation was removed and replaced with Gaming Overlay. You say, "When the new zoning code was adopted in 2021, the DRRC designation was deleted, and the new signage language was changed to reference the "Gaming Overlay District".

And, apparently, when that happened someone "inadvertently" forgot to append Footnote 11 to the Gaming Overlay section of the chart. I'm attaching the first red-lined version you presented

to the planning commission in March and April. You told the commissioners that the change was meant to **correct errors** in 18.05.113, the sign chart. Footnote 11 limiting large signage to casinos was already appended to mixed-use districts, which includes MD-ED, but not to the Gaming Overlay district and you wanted to fix that and did. Look at your first red-lined version of Gaming Overlays in the chart. **My point is that the MD-ED district is not allowed the use of casino signs today.** And the sign code chart says so. Removing the Gaming Overlay designation from the sign code chart and replacing it with MD-ED with casino large sign regulations is not reducing signage as you claim but increasing them in the MD-ED.

The Gaming Overlay Districts may be 1,971 acres but adding Footnote 11 on the sign chart corrected the error you discussed with the planning commission. Giving unlimited signage in the Gaming Overlay to that many properties is ludicrous and was never intended, as you know. Look at your footnote 28 (attached) in 18.02 explaining your reasoning for changing this part of the code to match the sign code chart. It says, "28. This was unintentionally left out. Should only apply to gaming facilities within gaming overlays."

Referring to 18.02, you told commissioners, "The intent was just for the casinos, the licensed casinos to be able to have the bigger signs so we added a clarification. We knew what the intent was. We just missed it in specifying within this specific section of code." You append Footnote 2, the same language as Footnote 11, to the gaming overlay section to correct the error.

Reaching back into past code iterations to justify the proposed change now allowing more signage in the MD-ED makes no sense and will have a detrimental impact, adding more tacky signs to a tired looking downtown. Calling your proposal a reduction in signage is disingenuous at best when you know that large signs in the Gaming Overlay were never, ever intended in the first place. Have any tall signs been approved on non-casino properties in recent years in any of the Gaming Overlay districts you show on your map? I can think of two really awful signs, the green Dollar sign (photo attached) and the old Motel 6 sign, both on South Virginia. I don't know if they are in a Gaming Overlay or not. But both look to be at least 60 feet tall and are two examples of how awful these signs are. Imagine many of these in the MD-ED.

A billboard can only be 35 feet tall. The community voted over 20 years ago to ban these tall signs. The city council also enacted a ban on digital billboards at the urging of Scenic Nevada and the community. Your proposal would open the door to more tall signs with huge digital panels, bigger than a digital billboard, the kind this community has largely rejected as eyesores that ruin property values and the chance of ever improving the aesthetics of downtown. Please reconsider and update the sign code chart to limit the largest signs to casino properties only.

Lori

Lori Wray, Director
Scenic Nevada
608 Lander Street
Reno, NV 89509
775 848-8288

From: Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Sent: Friday, September 20, 2024 1:37 PM

To: Lori Wray <lwray@markwraylaw.com>; Lauren Knox <KnoxL@Reno.Gov>

Cc: Mark Wray <mwray@markwraylaw.com>; John Hara <countertourist@gmail.com>; Joyce Thompson <jreno1968@gmail.com>; leah.sanders14@gmail.com; Alicia Barber <aliciambarber@gmail.com>; dkeats@sbcglobal.net; toniharsh@charter.net; Peter C. Neumann (pcn@att.net)

<pcn@att.net>

Subject: Re: Update on the City of Reno Title 18 - Sign Code

Hi Lori,

Below is a breakdown of answers to your questions on allowed signage:

Where did the old zoning Code (prior to 2021) allow signage for 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on area, lighting or animation?

Since 2005, the Reno Zoning Code has allowed all property (regardless of it being a casino) within the Downtown Reno Regional Center Entertainment District and Hotel Casino (HC) zoning district to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on area, lighting or animation. This accounts for approximately 146 acres of property.

Excerpt from 2021 Zoning Code related to Signs and the DRRC Entertainment District:

<image002.png>

Map of the DRRC Entertainment District and Hotel Casino Zoning District that allowed for signs to have 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on area, lighting or animation

<image003.png>

Where does the current zoning code allow for signage for 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on area, lighting or animation?

The existing zoning code allows for signage for 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on area, lighting or animation for all parcels located within the Gaming Overlay Boundary. This includes approximately 1,971 acres. When the new zoning code was adopted in 2021, the DRRC designation was deleted, and the new signage language was changed to reference the "Gaming Overlay District".

Here is a map of where all business are allowed to have signage for 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on area, lighting or animation.

<image004.png>

Staff's recommendation with the Sign Code Text Amendment Language:

Staff's recommendation is to remove the allowance for all business in the Gaming Overlay District to have signage for 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on area, lighting or animation. Staff recommends that the Code revert back to the language that had been similar to code since 2005 that allowed signage for 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on area, lighting or animation for all businesses within the Entertainment District AND for all legally established nonrestricted gaming facilities. This area is closer to 136 acres.

This proposed change to the sign code would actually remove signage allowances from the Gaming Overlay Boundary and revert back to just the Entertainment District and Nonrestricted Gaming locations. This has been part of the zoning code for close to 20 years. The Entertainment District is approximately 136 acres, as opposed to the much bigger Gaming Overlay Boundary, which is closer to 1,971 acres. Staffs language proposes to reduce signage requirements in approximately 1,835 acres. Please note that we are seeking community and business input on this change and want to make sure that all parties are aware of the changes.

Angela

Angela Fuss, AICP

Assistant Director

Development Services

775-399-3843 (c)

fussa@Reno.Gov

1 E. First St., Reno, NV 89501

Reno.Gov | **Connect with us:**



From: Lori Wray <lwrap@markwraylaw.com>

Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2024 5:32 PM

To: Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>; Lauren Knox <KnoxL@Reno.Gov>

Cc: Mark Wray <mwrap@markwraylaw.com>; John Hara <countertourist@gmail.com>; Joyce Thompson <jreno1968@gmail.com>; Leah Sanders <leah.sanders14@gmail.com>; Alicia Barber <aliciambarber@gmail.com>; Dkeats <dkeats@sbcglobal.net>; Toni Harsh <toniharsh@charter.net>; Peter C. Neumann <pcn@att.net>

Subject: RE: Update on the City of Reno Title 18 - Sign Code

Angela,

The sign code was never interpreted by you or anyone else at the city to allow just any business within the Gaming Overlay District to erect 100-foot-tall signs as you suggest below. And you said as much during presentations to the Reno Planning Commission last spring. Attached is the earlier, red-lined version circulated to the community and presented to the planning commission this year. See the footnote 11 proposed changes. It was meant to be changed to, "[11] This section only applies to parcels with legally established Nonrestricted Gaming establishments and does not apply to the broader Gaming Overlay District."

Here's your full comment concerning this change to the planning commission on April 17, 2024: "We added verbiage to the gaming related sign regulations to clarify that they only apply to legally established gaming establishments in the overlay."

You also added, "What we inadvertently did in our - gaming overlay also includes some different buildings that are not casinos and so we don't want the average pawn shop owner or Burger King to have a 10-foot-tall sign. So again inadvertently, we didn't mean to do that, so we just

provided some clarification that if you are in the gaming overlay and you want to use those sign allowances you also have to be a legally established gaming facility. Pretty simple."

At an earlier presentation to the Planning Commission on March 20, you said basically the same thing referring to a change of Title 18.02 having to do with overlay districts.

You said: "The intent was just for the casinos, the licensed casinos, to be able to have the bigger signs so we added a clarification. Again, we knew what the intent was, we just missed it in specifying within this specific section of code. So again, just a clean-up to make more sense and frankly match what was in our sign code. So, a quick cleanup relating to gaming overlay."

Contrary to your statements above and in the email below, you are expanding gaming overlay regulations to others who want to use the gaming overlay regulations and do not have a casino property. Apparently, now you are allowing this flexibility for casino's **"and for parcels zoned within Downtown Entertainment zoning district."**

Can you clarify whether the new redlined version to be discussed next week is just part of code clean up or is this new red-lined version and round of stakeholder meetings the actual sign code update that is supposed to take place?

In my opinion, this is a major change and intensification of the sign code to allow anyone within the MD-ED 100-foot-tall signs with no limit on area, lighting or animation. As you know animated signs can play videos, flash lights, flip, pop and scroll without any restrictions. Imagine a series of signs like the Glow Plaza sign on west Fourth Street. Do you know how many parcels are affected by this proposed change? And can you send me a map showing the boundaries of the MD-ED zoning district?

For the record, Scenic Nevada is opposed to expanding the use of gaming overlay sign regulations to other businesses which are not licensed casinos.

Sincerely,

Lori

Lori Wray, Director
Scenic Nevada
608 Lander Street
Reno, NV 89509
775 848-8288

From: Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2024 10:15 AM

To: Lori Wray <lwray@markwraylaw.com>; Lauren Knox <KnoxL@Reno.Gov>

Subject: Re: Update on the City of Reno Title 18 - Sign Code

Hi Lori,

The current Code already allows any business located in the Gaming Overlay District to erect a 100-foot sign with no limits on area and lighting. We are proposing to reduce the allowed signage by only allowing this flexibility for casino's and for parcels zoned within Downtown Entertainment zoning district.

Below is a map that shows the Gaming Overlay District. The current Code allows any business to erect a 100-foot sign with no limits on area and lighting within the areas in "red".

<image005.png>

Angela Fuss, AICP

Assistant Director

Development Services

775-399-3843 (c)

fussa@Reno.Gov

1 E. First St., Reno, NV 89501

Reno.Gov | **Connect with us:**

From: Lori Wray <lwray@markwraylaw.com>

Sent: Tuesday, September 17, 2024 10:02 AM

To: Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>; Lauren Knox <KnoxL@Reno.Gov>

Subject: RE: Update on the City of Reno Title 18 - Sign Code

Hello Angela,

The on-premises sign code chart is completely different than the chart circulated earlier in the process. I was told originally there were only going to be minor text changes for clarification. Nevertheless, my concern is that it looks like the biggest signs reserved for casinos in the current code will be extended to all property owners in the downtown area. My question is can any non-casino business downtown erect a 100 foot sign with no limits on area and lighting?

Thanks,

Lori

Lori Wray, Director
Scenic Nevada
608 Lander Street
Reno, NV 89509
775 848-8288

From: Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>

Sent: Thursday, September 12, 2024 5:20 PM

To: Angela Fuss <FussA@reno.gov>; Lauren Knox <KnoxL@Reno.Gov>

Subject: Update on the City of Reno Title 18 - Sign Code

Sign Stakeholders,

The City of Reno Planning Department is working on updates to the Title 18 Zoning Code. As part of that work, we are looking to clean up the Sign Code. We will be holding two stakeholder meetings that are intended for members of the sign industry and then three additional stakeholder meetings for the broader general public. **The same information will be presented at all the meetings.** Please plan to attend one of the virtual meetings listed below to learn about the draft changes and provide your input. A copy of the proposed redline changes is attached for your review. Also attached is a "clean version" with annotations of the changes.

Options for Meeting Dates/Times:

Sign Technical Advisory Committee Meeting #1

Date: Monday, September 23rd from Noon – 1 p.m.

https://us06web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_X6B6ERpKRNimoqdMzmYAsQ

Sign Technical Advisory Committee Meeting #2

Date: Tuesday, September 24th from 9 a.m. - 10 a.m.

https://us06web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_59Y-HdaSTKiu3BXC7mdU7A

General Public Stakeholder Meeting #1

Date: Tuesday, September 24th from 6 p.m. - 7 p.m.

https://us06web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_Ay73jL0HRnO8hYUe94TYfw

General Public Stakeholder Meeting #2

Date: Friday, September 27th from 9 a.m. - 10 a.m.

https://us06web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_umHCFvgSTmaWKK8hS3j_w

General Public Stakeholder Meeting #3

Date: Friday, September 27th from Noon – 1 p.m.

https://us06web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_IJ7KqD39ScGyFq9xiZ9czA

A summary of the draft changes is below:

- Updated triggers for entitlement review related to signs to be consistent across the code. If an entitlement is triggered, the process is for a Major Site Plan Review, which requires Planning Commission approval is required.
- Add a statement on "content neutrality" to address federal laws relating to freedom of speech.
- Modified/added sign definitions for monument sign, pole sign and freestanding sign.
- Moved references to signs with the Gaming Overlay to the Sign section of code.
- Clarified what size and type of signs are allowed within the Downtown Entertainment District.
- Added sign requirements for several zoning districts that had been inadvertently left out of the code.
- Cleaned up the *Table on Sign Regulations by Zoning District* to provide consistency across zoning districts.

- **No changes have been made to code related to billboards (off-premise signs), nits on animated signs, or gas station sign regulations.**

Please e-mail Lauren Knox at knoxL@reno.gov with any comments.

Thank you
Angela

Angela Fuss, AICP

Assistant Director

Development Services

775-399-3843 (c)

fussa@Reno.Gov

1 E. First St., Reno, NV 89501

Reno.Gov | **Connect with us:**

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain confidential information that is also legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify the sender and immediately destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving in any manner. Thank you.

<24-09-12 Second Red-lined Draft.pdf> <24-09-20 Mixed Use Downtown - Entertainment District Map.pdf> <23-10-17 First Draft of red-lined chart.pdf> <23-10-17 Footnote 28.pdf> <Dollar Sign.jpg>