



City Council Comment received from Alicia Barber

From Mikki Huntsman <HuntsmanM@reno.gov>

Date Tue 3/25/2025 2:39 PM

To Public Comment - CC <PublicComment@reno.gov>

Contact Info:

Name:

Alicia Barber

Commenting on behalf of:

Ward #:

Ward 2

Email Address:

Phone Number:

Address:

A new comment has been submitted for the Reno City Council Meeting held on: 2025-03-26.

Section:

F Items - Ordinance Adoptions

Item:

F.1.

Position:

In opposition

Are they speaking in person?

No, I am submitting a written comment only.

If no, enter comments below:

As I explained last week, I found the staff justification for this change (and the Council's incredibly brisk discussion) to be insufficient, and the additional information presented by staff in the last meeting has not been provided in the new Staff Report. Beyond my existing concerns about exacerbating the threat of premature demolition of structures, here are some additional questions that occurred to me in the interim that I hope can be discussed, to determine if this change would be prudent: Will ANY parcel in the City of Reno where another structure once stood be eligible for sewer connection fee credits, or only structures demolished since 2011? Could landowners of ANY vacant site qualify for a sewer connection fee credit if they can prove exactly what once stood on their site, no matter how long ago?

How much money would the sewer fund be projected to lose, solely from the elimination of these fees for the current project that's requesting credits, when compared with the full amount they would owe at current rates? City staff approximated that this policy could apply to 92 parcels. What would be the projected losses to City revenues were ALL sites where structural demolition occurred more than five years ago to apply their site's sewer connection fee credits rather than paying the full fee at today's rates? What if 50% of them did? What is the current status of the sewer fund? Do any types of projects currently qualify for sewer connection fee deferrals or waivers from the City of Reno, and if so, under what circumstances? This change could result in more land remaining vacant for longer periods of time. Wouldn't that inherently contradict the City's interest in potentially penalizing owners of longstanding vacant land? How would the City of Reno determine which vacant parcels warrant penalties, were they to adopt any?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes

By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

Yes

Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?

Reno Connect is the best way to stay informed about the latest news and updates from the City of Reno. We'll never share your email address with third-party persons, companies or organizations. Visit www.Reno.Gov/RenoConnect to view all newsletter topic lists.

No



City Council Comment received from Chris Cutshaw

From Mikki Huntsman <HuntsmanM@reno.gov>

Date Sat 3/22/2025 7:18 PM

To Public Comment - CC <PublicComment@reno.gov>

Contact Info:Name:

Chris Cutshaw

Commenting on behalf of:Ward #:

Ward 3

Email Address:

cpcutshaw1@gmail.com

Phone Number:

5202359549

Address:

A new comment has been submitted for the Reno City Council Meeting held on: 2025-03-26.

Section:

F Items - Ordinance Adoptions

Item:

F.1.

Position:

In opposition

Are they speaking in person?

No, I am submitting a written comment only.

If no, enter comments below:

I do not support this ordinance. Considering an incoming budget shortfall, it does not make sense to give up any income to maintain our utilities. In addition, we should be discouraging the destruction of existing properties with no plan in place to build something else. This goes against the city's goals of discouraging more vacant lots.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes

By checking the "Yes" below, you understand, acknowledge, and expressly agree that: (1) all information submitted by you will be entered into the public record, made available for public inspection, and freely disseminated without restriction; and, (2) any contact, personal, financial, or medical information intentionally or inadvertently submitted by you will not be maintained in a confidential manner, or subsequently exempted from public inspection.

Yes

Do you wish to sign-up for Reno Connect e-newsletters?

Reno Connect is the best way to stay informed about the latest news and updates from the City of Reno. We'll never share your email address with third-party persons, companies or organizations. Visit www.Reno.Gov/RenoConnect to view all newsletter topic lists.

Yes



City Council Comment received from Claudia Davis

From Mikki Huntsman <HuntsmanM@reno.gov>

Date Fri 3/21/2025 8:55 AM

To Public Comment - CC <PublicComment@reno.gov>

Contact Info:Name:

Claudia Davis

Commenting on behalf of:

No

Ward #:

Ward 1

Email Address:

c32davis@gmail.com

Phone Number:

2065829376

Address:

525 Court St, I 106

A new comment has been submitted for the Reno City Council Meeting held on: 2025-03-25.

Section:

F Items - Ordinance Adoptions

Item:

F.1.

Position:

In opposition

Are they speaking in person?

No, I am submitting a written comment only.

If no, enter comments below:

Please do not make sewer credit awards useable without an expiration date. Either no expiration date there is no incentive for owner to move forward to redevelop demolished properties

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

By checking the "Yes" below, you agree that all the information above is true and accurate. For additional information, please refer to the agenda for today's meeting.

Yes

